



Constraints on models of scalar and vector leptoquarks decaying to a quark and a neutrino at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

CMS Collaboration ; Canelli, Maria Florencia ; Kilminster, Benjamin ; Aarrestad, Thea K ; Brzhechko, Danyyl ; Caminada, Lea ; De Cosa, Annapaoloa ; Del Burgo, Riccardo ; Donato, Silvio ; Galloni, Camilla ; Hreus, Tomas ; Leontsinis, Stefanos ; Mikuni, Vinicius Massami ; Neutelings, Izaak ; Rauco, Giorgia ; Robmann, Peter ; Salerno, Daniel ; Schweiger, Korbinian ; Seitz, Claudia ; Takahashi, Yuta ; Wertz, Sebastien ; Zucchetta, Alberto

Abstract: The results of a previous search by the CMS Collaboration for squarks and gluinos are reinterpreted to constrain models of leptoquark (LQ) production. The search considers jets in association with a transverse momentum imbalance, using the M_{T2} variable. The analysis uses proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, recorded with the CMS detector at the LHC in 2016 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9fb^{-1} . Leptoquark pair production is considered with LQ decays to a neutrino and a top, bottom, or light quark. This reinterpretation considers higher mass values than the original CMS search to constrain both scalar and vector LQs. Limits on the cross section for LQ pair production are derived at the 95% confidence level depending on the LQ decay mode. A vector LQ decaying with a 50% branching fraction to t , and 50% to b_T , has been proposed as part of an explanation of anomalous flavor physics results. In such a model, using only the decays to t , LQ masses below 1530 GeV are excluded assuming the Yang-Mills case with coupling $=1$, or 1115 GeV in the minimal coupling case $=0$, placing the most stringent constraint to date from pair production of vector LQs.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.032005>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-160140>

Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.


Originally published at:

CMS Collaboration; Canelli, Maria Florencia; Kilminster, Benjamin; Aarrestad, Thea K; Brzhechko, Danyyl; Caminada, Lea; De Cosa, Annapaoloa; Del Burgo, Riccardo; Donato, Silvio; Galloni, Camilla; Hreus, Tomas; Leontsinis, Stefanos; Mikuni, Vinicius Massami; Neutelings, Izaak; Rauco, Giorgia; Robmann, Peter; Salerno, Daniel; Schweiger, Korbinian; Seitz, Claudia; Takahashi, Yuta; Wertz, Sebastien; Zucchetta, Alberto (2018). Constraints on models of scalar and vector leptoquarks decaying to a quark

and a neutrino at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Physical Review. D, Particles, fields, gravitation and cosmology, D98(3):032005.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.032005>

Constraints on models of scalar and vector leptoquarks decaying to a quark and a neutrino at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*^{*}
(CMS Collaboration)

 (Received 25 May 2018; published 10 August 2018)

The results of a previous search by the CMS Collaboration for squarks and gluinos are reinterpreted to constrain models of leptoquark (LQ) production. The search considers jets in association with a transverse momentum imbalance, using the M_{T2} variable. The analysis uses proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, recorded with the CMS detector at the LHC in 2016 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . Leptoquark pair production is considered with LQ decays to a neutrino and a top, bottom, or light quark. This reinterpretation considers higher mass values than the original CMS search to constrain both scalar and vector LQs. Limits on the cross section for LQ pair production are derived at the 95% confidence level depending on the LQ decay mode. A vector LQ decaying with a 50% branching fraction to $t\nu$, and 50% to $b\tau$, has been proposed as part of an explanation of anomalous flavor physics results. In such a model, using only the decays to $t\nu$, LQ masses below 1530 GeV are excluded assuming the Yang-Mills case with coupling $\kappa = 1$, or 1115 GeV in the minimal coupling case $\kappa = 0$, placing the most stringent constraint to date from pair production of vector LQs.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.032005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.032005)

I. INTRODUCTION

Leptoquarks (LQ) are hypothetical particles with quantum numbers of both quarks and leptons [1]. The spin of an LQ state is either 0 (scalar LQ, denoted LQ_S) or 1 (vector LQ, denoted LQ_V). Leptoquarks appear in theories beyond the standard model (SM) such as grand unified theories [1–4], technicolor models [5–8], compositeness scenarios [9,10], and R parity [11] violating supersymmetry (SUSY) [12–20].

A growing collection of anomalies have been observed in flavor physics by the *BABAR* [21,22], *Belle* [23–26], and *LHCb* [27–31] Collaborations. These have been explained as hints of lepton flavor universality violation in both charged- and neutral-current processes. Leptoquarks have been suggested as an explanation of these results [32–38]. In particular, the best fit model of Refs. [37,38] predicts an LQ_V with a mass of $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ decaying with 50% branching fraction to either a top quark and a neutrino ($t\nu$) or a bottom quark and a tau lepton ($b\tau$). Such a state would therefore be visible at the CERN LHC.

At the LHC, LQ can be produced either in pairs or singly in association with a lepton. In this paper, we focus on LQ

pair production with both decaying to a neutrino and a top, bottom, or light quark (any single one of up, down, strange, or charm). The dominant leading-order (LO) diagrams for pair production at the LHC are shown in Fig. 1. The models for LQ_S and LQ_V pair production are taken from Ref. [38], which provides a concrete implementation of the models from Ref. [37]. For LQ_S , the pair production cross section depends only on the LQ mass. For LQ_V , there are additional constraints imposed by unitarity at high energy scales leading to model dependent solutions and thus production cross sections. In the model developed to explain the flavor physics anomalies [38], the additional relevant parameter for the LQ_V pair production cross section is κ , a dimensionless coupling that is 1 in the Yang-Mills case and 0 in the minimal coupling case, and we consider both values.




FIG. 1. Dominant LO diagrams for LQ pair production in proton-proton collisions.

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

For $\kappa = 1$, the cross section for LQ_V pair production is a factor of 5–20 times larger than that of LQ_S , depending on the LQ mass. The other free parameters in the LQ_V model are g_{t_L} and g_{b_L} , the couplings of the LQ_V to $t\nu$ and $b\tau$ pairs respectively, but they do not affect the cross section or kinematics for pair production.

The pair production of LQ_S , each decaying to a quark and neutrino, results in the same final states and kinematics as those considered in searches for squark pair production in R-parity conserving SUSY, assuming that the squark decays directly to a quark and a massless neutralino [39]. In both cases, the initial particles are scalars (LQ_S or squark) produced strongly via quantum chromodynamics (QCD), and the decay products are a quark and a nearly massless fermion (neutrino or neutralino). In practice, the decay products in LQ_V pair production are also found to have similar kinematics [39]. Searches for squark pair production are therefore already optimized to search for LQ pair production. Constraints on LQ production with decays to a quark and a neutrino have been placed using LHC data by the ATLAS [40] and CMS [41–43] Collaborations, either by reinterpreting existing squark searches, or considering mixed branching fraction scenarios with an LQ also decaying to a quark and a charged lepton. Searches at the Tevatron covering the same signatures have been performed by the CDF [44–46] and D0 [47–49] Collaborations. Direct searches for single LQ production have also been performed at HERA by the H1 [50] and ZEUS [51] Collaborations, placing constraints that are most stringent for an LQ with large coupling to an electron and a quark, and large branching fraction for the decay to a quark and a neutrino. Searches have also been performed by the ATLAS [52], CMS [53], CDF [54,55], and D0 [56] Collaborations for an LQ decaying to the $b\tau$ channel as predicted in the model of Refs. [37,38].

The results from the CMS search for jets in association with a transverse momentum imbalance (p_T^{miss}) using the M_{T2} variable [57], reported in Ref. [58] and initially interpreted for squark and gluino production, have recently been reinterpreted as part of a review of LQ searches to place the strongest limits on the pair production of LQ decaying to a quark and a neutrino [39]. However, for LQ_V , the pair production cross sections are large enough that the mass range of interest was not covered by the simulated samples used in Ref. [58]. In particular, for an LQ_V decaying to $t\nu$ as predicted to explain the flavor physics anomalies, the mass limit was derived from a flat extrapolation assuming that the cross section limit stayed the same at higher masses. To improve upon these constraints, in this paper we present an extended interpretation of the search from Ref. [58], where the selections, predictions, and uncertainties of the original analysis have not been changed. Exploiting the similarity in final states between squark and LQ pair production, we verify that the acceptance of our analysis is consistent within uncertainties for

squark, LQ_S , and LQ_V pair production for the same squark/LQ mass, assuming a neutralino mass of 1 GeV in the squark case. We thus proceed to use simulated squark samples to place limits on both LQ_S and LQ_V production. Using the full analysis information including all signal regions and correlations, we extend the interpretations from Ref. [58] to higher mass values, allowing us to improve the upper limits on LQ pair production cross sections in the $t\nu$ decay channel by as much as a factor of 2.8 over the flat extrapolation assumed in Ref. [39]. With this approach, we derive the strongest coupling-independent constraints to date on the anomaly-inspired model of Refs. [37,38].

II. ANALYSIS OVERVIEW

This study reinterprets the CMS search for jets and p_T^{miss} using the M_{T2} variable. The analysis is unchanged with respect to Ref. [58], where a full description can be found, and is briefly summarized here. The search uses proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, recorded with the CMS detector in 2016, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb^{-1} . A description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [59].

Event reconstruction is based on the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [60]. Jets are clustered from PF candidates using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [61] with a distance parameter of $R = 0.4$, as implemented in the FASTJET package [62], and are required to have pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.4$. Jets with transverse momentum $p_T > 20$ GeV are identified as originating from b quarks (“ b tagged”) using the combined secondary vertex algorithm [63], and the number of b -tagged jets is denoted N_b . For all other quantities considered in the analysis, jets are required to satisfy $p_T > 30$ GeV. The number of passing jets is denoted N_j , and the variable H_T is defined as the scalar sum of jet p_T . The missing transverse momentum vector, \vec{p}_T^{miss} , is defined as the negative vector sum of the momenta of all reconstructed PF candidates originating from the primary vertex, projected onto the plane perpendicular to the proton beams. Its magnitude is referred to as p_T^{miss} .

At the trigger level, events are selected by requiring large H_T , jet p_T , or p_T^{miss} . The trigger selections have efficiency greater than 98% for events with offline reconstructed values of $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 250$ GeV or $H_T > 1000$ GeV. The baseline selection requires $N_j \geq 1$, and events must pass either $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV if they have $H_T > 1000$ GeV, or $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 250$ GeV if they have $250 < H_T < 1000$ GeV. Further baseline requirements include that \vec{p}_T^{miss} is not aligned in the azimuthal angle ϕ with any of the four leading jets in p_T , that the negative vector sum of jet transverse momenta, \vec{H}_T^{miss} , is consistent with \vec{p}_T^{miss} , and that no loosely identified charged leptons or isolated tracks are found in the event. For events with $N_j \geq 2$, the variable M_{T2} is computed from the jets and the \vec{p}_T^{miss} as described in Ref. [58]. The M_{T2}




FIG. 2. Distributions of M_{T2} showing data, the background predictions, and a hypothetical LQ_V signal with LQ mass of 1500 GeV decaying with 100% branching fraction to $t\nu_\tau$. The cross section used for the LQ_V signal assumes $\kappa = 1$, and the signal is stacked on top of the background predictions. The black points show the observed data, with the statistical uncertainties represented by the vertical bars, and the bin widths represented by the horizontal bars. The rightmost bin in each plot also includes events with larger values of M_{T2} . The hatched band shows the uncertainty in the background prediction including both statistical and systematic components. The lower pane of each plot shows the ratio of observed data over predicted background. The categories require $H_T > 1500$ GeV, $4 \leq N_j \leq 6$, and (left) $N_b = 1$ or (right) $N_b = 2$.

variable takes on small values for events where the momentum imbalance arises from jet mismeasurement, typical of the QCD multijet background, and it yields larger values in events with genuine \vec{p}_T^{miss} . The baseline selection for events with $N_j \geq 2$ requires $M_{T2} > 200$ GeV, which is raised to $M_{T2} > 400$ GeV for events with $H_T > 1500$ GeV to further reject multijet background.

Events with $N_j \geq 2$ passing the baseline selection are categorized according to four variables: H_T , M_{T2} , N_j , and N_b . Events with $N_j = 1$ are categorized according to the jet p_T and the presence or absence of a b -tagged jet. The analysis spans a wide range of kinematics and jet multiplicities, containing 213 search bins in total, to maintain sensitivity to a variety of new physics signatures.

The SM backgrounds to the search comprise three classes of processes: Z + jets production with the decay $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$, W + jets or $t\bar{t}$ + jets production with the decay $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ where the charged lepton is outside the acceptance or not identified (“lost lepton”), and QCD multijet production where \vec{p}_T^{miss} arises from jet mismeasurement. Each of these backgrounds is predicted primarily from data control regions: Z + jets from $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ events, W + jets and $t\bar{t}$ + jets from events containing an identified electron or muon, and QCD multijets from events where at least one of the jets is aligned in ϕ with \vec{p}_T^{miss} .

Depending on the LQ mass and decay products, different search bins provide the greatest signal sensitivity. Figure 2 shows the M_{T2} distribution for data, the background predictions, and a hypothetical LQ_V signal in the two most sensitive search categories for an LQ of mass 1500 GeV decaying with 100% branching fraction to $t\nu$.

Taking into account all of the analysis bins, no significant deviations from the SM prediction are observed. Simultaneous maximum likelihood fits to data yields in all bins are performed, and the results are interpreted as limits on the production cross sections of hypothetical scenarios of LQ pair production.

III. SIMULATED SAMPLES

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated samples are used to estimate the background from some SM processes, to assess systematic uncertainties in prediction methods that rely on data, and to calculate the selection efficiency for signal models. The main background samples (Z + jets, W + jets, and $t\bar{t}$ + jets), as well as signal samples, are generated at LO precision in perturbative QCD with the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO v2.3.3 generator [64]. Up to four, three, or two additional partons are considered in the matrix element calculations for the generation of the V + jets ($V = Z, W$), $t\bar{t}$ + jets, and signal samples,

respectively. The NNPDF3.0 LO [65] parton distribution functions (PDFs) are used in the event generation. Parton showering and fragmentation are performed using the PYTHIA v8.212 [66] generator and the CUETP8M1 tune [67]. The potential double counting of the partons generated with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO and those with PYTHIA is removed using the MLM [68] matching scheme. The samples used for the SM backgrounds are unchanged from Ref. [58], and the details of the sample generation for other SM processes are described further there.

Additional proton-proton interactions in the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup) are generated with PYTHIA and superimposed on the hard collisions. The response of the CMS detector to SM background samples is simulated using a GEANT4-based model [69], while that to new physics signals is modeled using the CMS fast simulation package [70]. All simulated events are processed with the same chain of reconstruction programs as used for collision data. Corrections are applied to simulated samples to account for differences between measurements in data




FIG. 3. The 95% C.L. upper limits on the production cross sections as a function of LQ mass for LQ pair production decaying with 100% branching fraction to a neutrino and (upper left) a light quark (one of u , d , s , or c), (upper right) a bottom quark, or (lower) a top quark. The solid (dashed) black line represents the observed (median expected) exclusion. The inner green (outer yellow) band indicates the region containing 68 (95%) of the distribution of limits expected under the background-only hypothesis. The dark blue lines show the theoretical cross section for LQ_S pair production with its uncertainty. The red (light blue) lines show the same for LQ_V pair production assuming $\kappa = 1$ (0). Also shown (lower) in magenta is the product of the theoretical cross section and the square of the branching fraction (B), for vector LQ pair production assuming $\kappa = 1$ and a 50% branching fraction to ν_τ , with the remaining 50% to $b\tau$.

and the GEANT4 simulation of the trigger, b tagging, and lepton selection efficiencies. Additional differences arising from the fast simulation modeling of selection efficiencies, as well as from the modeling of p_T^{miss} , are corrected in the fast simulation and included in the systematic uncertainties considered.

The generated signal samples used for this interpretation consist of simplified models [71–75] of squark pair production, with the squark decaying to a quark of the same flavor and a neutralino with mass of 1 GeV. Three samples are generated with different squark flavors: “light” squarks with an equal fraction of $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{d}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{c})$, bottom squarks, and top squarks. Squark masses up to 2300 GeV are generated, compared to Ref. [58] where the generated samples extended to masses of 1800 GeV for light squarks, 1450 GeV for bottom squarks, and 1200 GeV for top squarks. Below those mass values, the previous samples generated with the same configuration are used.

Samples of pair production of LQ_S and LQ_V are also generated for a limited number of LQ mass values, to verify that the acceptance of the analysis at generator level is consistent with the squark samples used. Samples of LQ_S pair production are generated with the PYTHIA v8.205 generator, using the NNPDF2.3 LO [76] PDFs. Samples of LQ_V pair production are generated with the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO generator at LO precision in perturbative QCD, including up to two additional partons in the matrix element calculations and using the MLM matching scheme and NNPDF3.1 LO [77] PDFs. The variables defined in Sec. II are computed at generator level, and the kinematics of the generated squark samples are compared to those of LQ_S and LQ_V pair production samples. The acceptance of both the baseline analysis selection and the kinematic requirements for the most sensitive signal regions is found to be consistent within statistical uncertainties of $\sim 3\%$ – 10% for the squark, LQ_S , and LQ_V samples. The statistical uncertainty of the simulated signal samples is included when using the squark samples to set limits on LQ pair production, and based on this study no additional correction for, or systematic uncertainty in, the signal acceptance is applied.

To improve the modeling of the multiplicity of additional jets beyond those from the hard scatter process, we weight the signal MC events based on the number of such jets, denoted N_j^{ISR} for initial-state radiation (ISR). The weighting factors are derived from a control region enriched in $t\bar{t}$ events, obtained by selecting events with exactly two leptons (ee , $\mu\mu$ or $e\mu$) and exactly two b -tagged jets. The factors are chosen to make the simulated jet multiplicity agree with data, and they vary between 0.92 for $N_j^{\text{ISR}} = 1$ and 0.51 for $N_j^{\text{ISR}} \geq 6$. We take one half of the deviation from unity as the systematic uncertainty in these reweighting factors, as an estimate of the differences between $t\bar{t}$ and signal production.

The cross sections for LQ_S or LQ_V pair production are computed to next-to-leading-order (NLO) or LO precision

in perturbative QCD, following Ref. [38] and using the NNPDF2.3 NLO or LO PDF set, respectively. In the LQ_V model, we assume $g_{t_L} = g_{b_L} = 0.1$, and either $\kappa = 1$ or $\kappa = 0$, as predicted to explain the flavor physics anomalies. The uncertainties in cross section calculations arise from PDF variations and from the renormalization and factorization scale variations. For PDF uncertainties, the NNPDF2.3 PDF set variations are used. For scale uncertainties, renormalization and factorization scales are varied up and down by a factor of two with respect to the nominal values. The theoretical uncertainties in the cross section are not included in the limit calculation but displayed separately in Fig. 3.

IV. INTERPRETATION

The search results of Ref. [58] are interpreted to place cross section limits on LQ pair production as a function of the LQ mass. A modified frequentist approach is used, employing the CL_s criterion and an asymptotic formulation [78–81]. The uncertainties in the signal acceptance and efficiency, and in the background predictions, are incorporated as nuisance parameters. The observed data yields in control regions are parameterized using gamma functions, while other nuisance parameters are implemented using log-normal functions, whose widths reflect the size of the systematic uncertainty.

The following sources of uncertainty in the signal acceptance and efficiency are evaluated and taken to be fully correlated across all analysis bins: determination of the integrated luminosity [82], trigger efficiency, lepton identification and isolation efficiency, lepton efficiency modeling in fast simulation, b tagging efficiency, jet energy scale, modeling of p_T^{miss} in fast simulation, modeling of ISR, simulation of pileup, and variations of the generator factorization and renormalization scales. The statistical uncertainty of the simulated signal samples is taken to be uncorrelated in every bin. The total uncertainty in the signal acceptance is typically around 5%–25% in the most sensitive analysis bins. A detailed discussion of the uncertainties in the background prediction can be found in Ref. [58].

Exclusion limits at the 95% confidence level (C.L.) on the cross section of LQ pair production are shown in Fig. 3. In each case, we assume that there is only one LQ state with low enough mass to be produced at the LHC, and that any other potential LQ states have masses too large to be produced. We assume that the LQ decays with 100% branching fraction to a neutrino and a single type of quark, as specified below. In the simulated samples used to determine the signal acceptance, and for the cross sections displayed, we consider only LQ pair production and not single LQ production.

We first consider LQ decays to a neutrino and a light quark, which can be any single one of the u , d , s , or c quarks. As the analysis includes categorization in the

TABLE I. Summary of the observed (expected) mass limits at the 95% C.L., and the cross sections σ that correspond to the excluded mass values. The columns show scalar or vector leptoquarks with the choice of κ , while the rows show the LQ decay channel.

	LQ _S		LQ _V , $\kappa = 1$		LQ _V , $\kappa = 0$	
	Mass [GeV]	σ [fb]	Mass [GeV]	σ [fb]	Mass [GeV]	σ [fb]
LQ $\rightarrow q\nu$ $q = u, d, s, \text{ or } c$	980 (940)	5.9 (8.0)	1790 (1830)	1.1 (0.9)	1410 (1415)	2.0 (2.0)
LQ $\rightarrow b\nu$	1100 (1070)	2.4 (3.0)	1810 (1800)	1.0 (1.1)	1475 (1440)	1.3 (1.7)
LQ $\rightarrow t\nu$	1020 (980)	4.3 (5.9)	1780 (1740)	1.2 (1.5)	1460 (1385)	1.5 (2.4)
LQ $\rightarrow \begin{cases} t\nu(B = 50\%) \\ b\tau(B = 50\%) \end{cases}$	1530 (1460)	1.3 (2.1)	1115 (1095)	3.7 (4.2)

number of b -tagged jets, and the probability for a c quark to pass the b tagging selection is larger than that of the u , d , and s quarks, we check whether the cross section limit obtained for an LQ decaying to $c\nu$ differs significantly from an LQ decaying to a neutrino and one of the other light quarks. The cross section limit differs by at most 10%, resulting in a negligible impact on the mass limit, and we therefore do not produce separate limit results for these cases. The observed and expected limits on the LQ mass, and the corresponding cross sections for the excluded mass values, are summarized in Table I.

The observed limit is more stringent than expected by up to 2 standard deviations in the LQ mass range of about 400–600 GeV for a decay to a light or bottom quark and a neutrino, and in the range of about 500–900 GeV for the $t\nu$ decay channel. The most sensitive analysis bins differ in each case, primarily in the N_j and N_b requirements. The background estimates for these bins are derived from statistically independent control regions, so the predictions and uncertainties are largely uncorrelated among these interpretations.

The model proposed in Refs. [37,38] as an explanation of the flavor physics anomalies predicts an LQ_V with 50% branching fraction to each of the $t\nu$ and $b\tau$ channels. As our analysis removes events with charged leptons, including hadronically decaying τ leptons, we only consider the 25% of events where both LQ decay to $t\nu$ to place constraints on this model. We show the theoretical prediction for this branching fraction as a separate curve in Fig. 3 (lower) assuming $\kappa = 1$. For $\kappa = 1$, we find an observed (expected) limit on the LQ_V mass of 1530 (1460) GeV, while for $\kappa = 0$ we obtain a limit of 1115 (1095) GeV. The mass limit in the $\kappa = 1$ case corresponds to a value of 1.3 (2.1) fb for the product of the LQ pair production cross section and the square of the branching fraction, while for $\kappa = 0$, the value is 3.7 (4.2) fb.

V. SUMMARY

The CMS search for jets and missing transverse momentum using the M_{T2} variable has been reinterpreted to place limits on leptoquark (LQ) pair production, where the LQ

decays with 100% branching fraction to a quark and a neutrino. The search uses proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, recorded with the CMS detector in 2016 and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 35.9 fb⁻¹. Leptoquark decays to a neutrino and a top, bottom, or light quark are considered. Compared to the original result, higher masses are considered to place exclusion limits on scalar LQs, and on vector LQs assuming either the Yang-Mills ($\kappa = 1$) or minimal ($\kappa = 0$) coupling scenarios. Assuming that there is only one LQ state within mass reach of the LHC and that it decays to a light quark and a neutrino, masses below 980, 1790, and 1410 GeV are excluded at the 95% confidence level by the observed data in the scalar, vector $\kappa = 1$, and vector $\kappa = 0$ scenarios. For an LQ decaying to $b\nu$, masses below 1100, 1810, and 1475 GeV are excluded, while for an LQ decaying to $t\nu$, masses below 1020, 1780, and 1460 GeV are excluded. In the model of Refs. [37,38], a vector LQ with 50% branching fraction to $t\nu$, and 50% to $b\tau$, is predicted to explain anomalous flavor physics results. Masses below 1530 (1115) GeV are excluded for such a state assuming $\kappa = 1$ ($\kappa = 0$), considering only the events with both LQ decaying to $t\nu$, providing the strongest constraint to date in this model from pair production.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus);

SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI and FEDER (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract No. 675440 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science—EOS”—be.h Project

No. 30820817; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Lendület (“Momentum”) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI research Grants Nos. 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850 and 125105 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, Grant No. MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Welch Foundation, Contract No. C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

-
- [1] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Unified lepton-hadron symmetry and a gauge theory of the basic interactions, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1240 (1973).
 - [2] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Lepton number as the fourth color, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 275 (1974); Erratum, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 703(E) (1975).
 - [3] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 438 (1974).
 - [4] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Unified interactions of leptons and hadrons, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **93**, 193 (1975).
 - [5] S. Dimopoulos and L. Susskind, Mass without scalars, *Nucl. Phys. B* **155**, 237 (1979).
 - [6] S. Dimopoulos, Technicoloured signatures, *Nucl. Phys. B* **168**, 69 (1980).
 - [7] E. Farhi and L. Susskind, Technicolour, *Phys. Rep.* **74**, 277 (1981).
 - [8] K. D. Lane and M. V. Ramana, Walking technicolor signatures at hadron colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 2678 (1991).
 - [9] B. Schrempp and F. Schrempp, Light leptoquarks, *Phys. Lett.* **153B**, 101 (1985).
 - [10] B. Gripaios, Composite leptoquarks at the LHC, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2010) 045.
 - [11] G. R. Farrar and P. Fayet, Phenomenology of the production, decay, and detection of new hadronic states associated with supersymmetry, *Phys. Lett.* **76B**, 575 (1978).
 - [12] P. Ramond, Dual theory for free fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 2415 (1971).
 - [13] Yu. A. Golfand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of p invariance, *JETP Lett.* **13**, 323 (1971).
 - [14] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Factorizable dual model of pions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **31**, 86 (1971).
 - [15] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, Possible universal neutrino interaction, *JETP Lett.* **16**, 438 (1972).
 - [16] J. Wess and B. Zumino, A Lagrangian model invariant under supergauge transformations, *Phys. Lett.* **49B**, 52 (1974).
 - [17] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **70**, 39 (1974).
 - [18] P. Fayet, Supergauge invariant extension of the Higgs mechanism and a model for the electron and its neutrino, *Nucl. Phys. B* **90**, 104 (1975).
 - [19] H. P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, *Phys. Rep.* **110**, 1 (1984).
 - [20] R. Barbier, C. Bérat, M. Besançon, M. Chemtob, A. Deandrea, E. Dudas, P. Fayet, S. Lavignac, G. Moreau, E. Perez, and Y. Sirois, R-parity violating supersymmetry, *Phys. Rep.* **420**, 1 (2005).
 - [21] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Evidence for an Excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 101802 (2012).

- [22] J. P. Lees *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Measurement of an excess of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ decays and implications for charged Higgs bosons, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 072012 (2013).
- [23] A. Matyja *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\tau^+\nu_\tau$ Decay at Belle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 191807 (2007).
- [24] A. Bozek *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\tau^+\nu_\tau$ and evidence for $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\tau^+\nu_\tau$ at Belle, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 072005 (2010).
- [25] M. Huschle *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ relative to $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with hadronic tagging at Belle, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 072014 (2015).
- [26] S. Hirose *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurement of the τ Lepton Polarization and $R(D^*)$ in the Decay $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 211801 (2017).
- [27] LHCb Collaboration, Measurement of Form-Factor-Independent Observables in the Decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 191801 (2013).
- [28] LHCb Collaboration, Test of Lepton Universality Using $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 151601 (2014).
- [29] LHCb Collaboration, Measurement of the Ratio of Branching Fractions $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau)/\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 111803 (2015); Erratum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 159901(E) (2015).
- [30] LHCb Collaboration, Angular analysis of the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ decay using 3 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2016) 104.
- [31] LHCb Collaboration, Test of lepton universality with $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2017) 055.
- [32] M. Tanaka and R. Watanabe, New physics in the weak interaction of $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 034028 (2013).
- [33] Y. Sakaki, M. Tanaka, A. Tayduganov, and R. Watanabe, Testing leptoquark models in $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 094012 (2013).
- [34] I. Doršner, S. Fajfer, N. Košnik, and I. Nišandžić, Minimally flavored colored scalar in $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$ and the mass matrices constraints, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2013) 084.
- [35] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, Composite leptoquarks and anomalies in B -meson decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2015) 006.
- [36] M. Bauer and M. Neubert, Minimal Leptoquark Explanation for the $R_{D^{(*)}}$, R_K , and $(g-2)_\mu$ Anomalies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 141802 (2016).
- [37] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo, G. Isidori, and D. Marzocca, B-physics anomalies: a guide to combined explanations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2017) 044.
- [38] I. Doršner and A. Greljo, Leptoquark toolbox for precision collider studies, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2018) 126.
- [39] B. Diaz, M. Schmaltz, and Y.-M. Zhong, The leptoquark hunter's guide: Pair production, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2017) 097.
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, Searches for scalar leptoquarks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 5 (2016).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Search for third-generation scalar leptoquarks decaying to a top quark and a τ lepton at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, [arXiv:1803.02864](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.02864).
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair production of first and second generation leptoquarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 032004 (2016).
- [43] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair production of third-generation scalar leptoquarks and top squarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **739**, 229 (2014).
- [44] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Search for New Physics with a Dijet Plus Missing Transverse Energy Signature in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 131801 (2010).
- [45] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Search for first-generation scalar leptoquarks in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 051107 (2005).
- [46] A. Abulencia *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Search for second-generation scalar leptoquarks in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 051102 (2006).
- [47] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Search for scalar leptoquarks and T -odd quarks in the acoplanar jet topology using 2.5 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **668**, 357 (2008).
- [48] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Search for first generation leptoquark pair production in the electron + missing energy + jets final state, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 071104 (2011).
- [49] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Search for pair production of second generation scalar leptoquarks, *Phys. Lett. B* **671**, 224 (2009).
- [50] F. D. Aaron *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Search for first generation leptoquarks in ep collisions at HERA, *Phys. Lett. B* **704**, 388 (2011).
- [51] H. Abramowicz *et al.* (ZEUS Collaboration), Search for first-generation leptoquarks at HERA, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 012005 (2012).
- [52] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for third generation scalar leptoquarks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2013) 033.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Search for third-generation scalar leptoquarks and heavy right-handed neutrinos in final states with two tau leptons and two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2017) 121.
- [54] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF), Search for Pair Production of Scalar Top Quarks Decaying to a τ Lepton and a b Quark in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 071802 (2008).
- [55] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Search for third generation vector leptoquarks in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 091105 (2008).
- [56] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Search for Third Generation Scalar Leptoquarks Decaying into τb , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 241802 (2008).
- [57] C. G. Lester and D. J. Summers, Measuring masses of semi-invisibly decaying particles pair produced at hadron colliders, *Phys. Lett. B* **463**, 99 (1999).
- [58] CMS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena with the M_{T2} variable in the all-hadronic final state produced in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 710 (2017).
- [59] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).

- [60] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [61] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063.
- [62] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1896 (2012).
- [63] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P05011 (2018).
- [64] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2014) 079.
- [65] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC run II, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 040.
- [66] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159 (2015).
- [67] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 155 (2016).
- [68] J. Alwall *et al.*, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53**, 473 (2008).
- [69] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—A simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [70] CMS Collaboration, The fast simulation of the CMS detector at LHC, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **331**, 032049 (2011).
- [71] N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Schuster, N. Toro, J. Thaler, L.-T. Wang, B. Knuteson, and S. Mrenna, MARMOSSET: The path from LHC data to the new standard model via on-shell effective theories, [arXiv:hep-ph/0703088](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703088).
- [72] J. Alwall, P. C. Schuster, and N. Toro, Simplified models for a first characterization of new physics at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 075020 (2009).
- [73] J. Alwall, M.-P. Le, M. Lisanti, and J. G. Wacker, Model-independent jets plus missing energy searches, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 015005 (2009).
- [74] D. Alves, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Arora, Y. Bai, M. Baumgart, J. Berger, M. Buckley, B. Butler, S. Chang, H.-C. Cheng, C. Cheung, R. S. Chivukula, W. Sang Cho, R. Cotta, M. D'Alfonso *et al.*, Simplified models for LHC new physics searches, *J. Phys. G* **39**, 105005 (2012).
- [75] CMS Collaboration, Interpretation of searches for supersymmetry with simplified models, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 052017 (2013).
- [76] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions with LHC data, *Nucl. Phys.* **B867**, 244 (2013).
- [77] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 663 (2017).
- [78] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **434**, 435 (1999).
- [79] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: the CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* **28**, 2693 (2002).
- [80] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1554 (2011); Erratum, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2501 (2013).
- [81] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in summer 2011, Technical Report No. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-011, CMS-NOTE-2011-005, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1379837>, 2011.
- [82] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurements for the 2016 data taking period, CMS Physics Analysis Summary, Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001, CERN, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2257069>, 2017.

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² F. Ambrogio,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² A. Escalante Del Valle,² M. Flechl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} N. Krammer,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Madlener,² I. Mikulec,² N. Rad,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² M. Spanring,² D. Spitzbart,² A. Taurok,² W. Waltenberger,² J. Wittmann,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} M. Zarucki,² V. Chekhovsky,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ D. Di Croce,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ J. Lauwers,⁴ M. Pieters,⁴ M. Van De Klundert,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ S. Abu Zeid,⁵ F. Blekman,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ I. De Bruyn,⁵ J. De Clercq,⁵ K. Deroover,⁵ G. Flouris,⁵ D. Lontkovskyi,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ I. Marchesini,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ L. Moreels,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ K. Skovpen,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ I. Van Parijs,⁵ D. Beghin,⁶ B. Bilin,⁶ H. Brun,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ H. Delannoy,⁶ B. Dorney,⁶ G. Fasanella,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ R. Goldouzian,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ A. K. Kalsi,⁶ T. Lenzi,⁶ J. Luetic,⁶ N. Postiau,⁶ E. Starling,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ D. Vannerom,⁶ Q. Wang,⁶ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ A. Fagot,⁷ M. Gul,⁷ I. Khvastunov,^{7,c} D. Poyraz,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ D. Trocino,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ W. Verbeke,⁷ B. Vermassen,⁷ M. Vit,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁸ O. Bondu,⁸ S. Brochet,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ C. Caputo,⁸ P. David,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ M. Delcourt,⁸ B. Francois,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ G. Krintiras,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ A. Magitteri,⁸ A. Mertens,⁸ M. Musich,⁸ K. Piotrkowski,⁸ A. Saggio,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ S. Wertz,⁸ J. Zobec,⁸ F. L. Alves,⁹ G. A. Alves,⁹ L. Brito,⁹ M. Correa Martins Junior,⁹ G. Correia Silva,⁹ C. Hensel,⁹ A. Moraes,⁹ M. E. Pol,⁹ P. Rebello Teles,⁹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹⁰ W. Carvalho,¹⁰ J. Chinellato,^{10,d} E. Coelho,¹⁰ E. M. Da Costa,¹⁰ G. G. Da Silveira,^{10,e}

D. De Jesus Damiao,¹⁰ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹⁰ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹⁰ H. Malbouisson,¹⁰ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹⁰ M. Melo De Almeida,¹⁰ C. Mora Herrera,¹⁰ L. Mundim,¹⁰ H. Nogima,¹⁰ W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹⁰ L. J. Sanchez Rosas,¹⁰ A. Santoro,¹⁰ A. Sznajder,¹⁰ M. Thiel,¹⁰ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{10,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹⁰ A. Vilela Pereira,¹⁰ S. Ahuja,^{11a} C. A. Bernardes,^{11a} L. Calligaris,^{11a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{11a} E. M. Gregores,^{11a,11b} P. G. Mercadante,^{11a,11b} S. F. Novaes,^{11a} Sandra S. Padula,^{11a} D. Romero Abad,^{11a,11b} A. Aleksandrov,¹² R. Hadjiiska,¹² P. Iaydjiev,¹² A. Marinov,¹² M. Misheva,¹² M. Rodozov,¹² M. Shopova,¹² G. Sultanov,¹² A. Dimitrov,¹³ L. Litov,¹³ B. Pavlov,¹³ P. Petkov,¹³ W. Fang,^{14,f} X. Gao,^{14,f} L. Yuan,¹⁴ M. Ahmad,¹⁵ J. G. Bian,¹⁵ G. M. Chen,¹⁵ H. S. Chen,¹⁵ M. Chen,¹⁵ Y. Chen,¹⁵ C. H. Jiang,¹⁵ D. Leggat,¹⁵ H. Liao,¹⁵ Z. Liu,¹⁵ F. Romeo,¹⁵ S. M. Shaheen,^{15,g} A. Spiezia,¹⁵ J. Tao,¹⁵ C. Wang,¹⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁵ E. Yazgan,¹⁵ H. Zhang,¹⁵ J. Zhao,¹⁵ Y. Ban,¹⁶ G. Chen,¹⁶ A. Levin,¹⁶ J. Li,¹⁶ L. Li,¹⁶ Q. Li,¹⁶ Y. Mao,¹⁶ S. J. Qian,¹⁶ D. Wang,¹⁶ Z. Xu,¹⁶ Y. Wang,¹⁶ C. Avila,¹⁸ A. Cabrera,¹⁸ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹⁸ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁸ C. Florez,¹⁸ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁸ M. A. Segura Delgado,¹⁸ B. Courbon,¹⁹ N. Godinovic,¹⁹ D. Lelas,¹⁹ I. Puljak,¹⁹ T. Sculac,¹⁹ Z. Antunovic,²⁰ M. Kovac,²⁰ V. Brigljevic,²¹ D. Ferencek,²¹ K. Kadija,²¹ B. Mesic,²¹ A. Starodumov,^{21,h} T. Susa,²¹ M. W. Ather,²² A. Attikis,²² M. Kolosova,²² G. Mavromanolakis,²² J. Mousa,²² C. Nicolaou,²² F. Ptochos,²² P. A. Razis,²² H. Rykaczewski,²² M. Finger,^{23,i} M. Finger Jr.,^{23,i} E. Ayala,²⁴ E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁵ Y. Assran,^{26,j,k} S. Khalil,^{26,l} A. Mahrous,^{26,m} S. Bhowmik,²⁷ A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,²⁷ R. K. Dewanjee,²⁷ K. Ehataht,²⁷ M. Kadastik,²⁷ M. Raidal,²⁷ C. Veelken,²⁷ P. Eerola,²⁸ H. Kirschenmann,²⁸ J. Pekkanen,²⁸ M. Voutilainen,²⁸ J. Havukainen,²⁹ J. K. Heikkilä,²⁹ T. Järvinen,²⁹ V. Karimäki,²⁹ R. Kinnunen,²⁹ T. Lampén,²⁹ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁹ S. Laurila,²⁹ S. Lehti,²⁹ T. Lindén,²⁹ P. Luukka,²⁹ T. Mäenpää,²⁹ H. Siikonen,²⁹ E. Tuominen,²⁹ J. Tuominiemi,²⁹ T. Tuuva,³⁰ M. Besancon,³¹ F. Couderc,³¹ M. Dejardin,³¹ D. Denegri,³¹ J. L. Faure,³¹ F. Ferri,³¹ S. Ganjour,³¹ A. Givernaud,³¹ P. Gras,³¹ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³¹ P. Jarry,³¹ C. Leloup,³¹ E. Locci,³¹ J. Malcles,³¹ G. Negro,³¹ J. Rander,³¹ A. Rosowsky,³¹ M. Ö. Sahin,³¹ M. Titov,³¹ A. Abdulsalam,^{32,n} C. Amendola,³² I. Antropov,³² F. Beaudette,³² P. Busson,³² C. Charlot,³² R. Granier de Cassagnac,³² I. Kucher,³² S. Lisniak,³² A. Lobanov,³² J. Martin Blanco,³² M. Nguyen,³² C. Ochando,³² G. Ortona,³² P. Pigard,³² R. Salerno,³² J. B. Sauvan,³² Y. Sirois,³² A. G. Stahl Leiton,³² A. Zabi,³² A. Zghiche,³² J.-L. Agram,^{33,o} J. Andrea,³³ D. Bloch,³³ J.-M. Brom,³³ E. C. Chabert,³³ V. Cherepanov,³³ C. Collard,³³ E. Conte,^{33,o} J.-C. Fontaine,^{33,o} D. Gelé,³³ U. Goerlach,³³ M. Jansová,³³ A.-C. Le Bihan,³³ N. Tonon,³³ P. Van Hove,³³ S. Gadrat,³⁴ S. Beauceron,³⁵ C. Bernet,³⁵ G. Boudoul,³⁵ N. Chanon,³⁵ R. Chierici,³⁵ D. Contardo,³⁵ P. Depasse,³⁵ H. El Mamouni,³⁵ J. Fay,³⁵ L. Finco,³⁵ S. Gascon,³⁵ M. Gouzevitch,³⁵ G. Grenier,³⁵ B. Ille,³⁵ F. Lagarde,³⁵ I. B. Laktineh,³⁵ H. Lattaüd,³⁵ M. Lethuillier,³⁵ L. Mirabito,³⁵ A. L. Pequegnot,³⁵ S. Perries,³⁵ A. Popov,^{35,p} V. Sordini,³⁵ M. Vander Donckt,³⁵ S. Viret,³⁵ S. Zhang,³⁵ A. Khvedelidze,^{36,i} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{37,i} C. Autermann,³⁸ L. Feld,³⁸ M. K. Kiesel,³⁸ K. Klein,³⁸ M. Lipinski,³⁸ M. Preuten,³⁸ M. P. Rauch,³⁸ C. Schomakers,³⁸ J. Schulz,³⁸ M. Teroerde,³⁸ B. Wittmer,³⁸ V. Zhukov,^{38,p} A. Albert,³⁹ D. Duchardt,³⁹ M. Endres,³⁹ M. Erdmann,³⁹ T. Esch,³⁹ R. Fischer,³⁹ S. Ghosh,³⁹ A. Güth,³⁹ T. Hebbeker,³⁹ C. Heidemann,³⁹ K. Hoepfner,³⁹ H. Keller,³⁹ S. Knutzen,³⁹ L. Mastrolorenzo,³⁹ M. Merschmeyer,³⁹ A. Meyer,³⁹ P. Millet,³⁹ S. Mukherjee,³⁹ T. Pook,³⁹ M. Radziej,³⁹ H. Reithler,³⁹ M. Rieger,³⁹ F. Scheuch,³⁹ A. Schmidt,³⁹ D. Teyssier,³⁹ G. Flügge,⁴⁰ O. Hlushchenko,⁴⁰ B. Kargoll,⁴⁰ T. Kress,⁴⁰ A. Künsken,⁴⁰ T. Müller,⁴⁰ A. Nehr Korn,⁴⁰ A. Nowack,⁴⁰ C. Pistone,⁴⁰ O. Poeth,⁴⁰ H. Sert,⁴⁰ A. Stahl,^{40,q} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴¹ T. Arndt,⁴¹ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴¹ I. Babounikau,⁴¹ K. Beernaert,⁴¹ O. Behnke,⁴¹ U. Behrens,⁴¹ A. Bermúdez Martínez,⁴¹ D. Bertsche,⁴¹ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴¹ K. Borras,^{41,r} V. Botta,⁴¹ A. Campbell,⁴¹ P. Connor,⁴¹ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴¹ F. Costanza,⁴¹ V. Danilov,⁴¹ A. De Wit,⁴¹ M. M. Defranchis,⁴¹ C. Diez Pados,⁴¹ D. Domínguez Damiani,⁴¹ G. Eckerlin,⁴¹ T. Eichhorn,⁴¹ A. Elwood,⁴¹ E. Eren,⁴¹ E. Gallo,^{41,s} A. Geiser,⁴¹ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴¹ A. Grohsjean,⁴¹ P. Gunnellini,⁴¹ M. Guthoff,⁴¹ M. Haranko,⁴¹ A. Harb,⁴¹ J. Hauk,⁴¹ H. Jung,⁴¹ M. Kasemann,⁴¹ J. Keaveney,⁴¹ C. Kleinwort,⁴¹ J. Knolle,⁴¹ D. Krücker,⁴¹ W. Lange,⁴¹ A. Lelek,⁴¹ T. Lenz,⁴¹ K. Lipka,⁴¹ W. Lohmann,^{41,t} R. Mankel,⁴¹ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴¹ A. B. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Missiroli,⁴¹ G. Mittag,⁴¹ J. Mnich,⁴¹ V. Myronenko,⁴¹ S. K. Pflitsch,⁴¹ D. Pitzl,⁴¹ A. Raspereza,⁴¹ M. Savitskyi,⁴¹ P. Saxena,⁴¹ P. Schütze,⁴¹ C. Schwanenberger,⁴¹ R. Shevchenko,⁴¹ A. Singh,⁴¹ N. Stefaniuk,⁴¹ H. Tholen,⁴¹ O. Turkot,⁴¹ A. Vagnerini,⁴¹ G. P. Van Onsem,⁴¹ R. Walsh,⁴¹ Y. Wen,⁴¹ K. Wichmann,⁴¹ C. Wissing,⁴¹ O. Zenaiev,⁴¹ R. Aggleton,⁴² S. Bein,⁴² L. Benato,⁴² A. Benecke,⁴² V. Blobel,⁴² M. Centis Vignali,⁴² T. Dreyer,⁴² E. Garutti,⁴² D. Gonzalez,⁴² J. Haller,⁴² A. Hinzmann,⁴² A. Karavdina,⁴² G. Kasieczka,⁴² R. Klanner,⁴² R. Kogler,⁴² N. Kovalchuk,⁴² S. Kurz,⁴² V. Kutzner,⁴² J. Lange,⁴² D. Marconi,⁴² J. Multhaus,⁴² M. Niedziela,⁴² D. Nowatschin,⁴² A. Perieanu,⁴² A. Reimers,⁴² O. Rieger,⁴² C. Scharf,⁴² P. Schleper,⁴² S. Schumann,⁴² J. Schwandt,⁴² J. Sonneveld,⁴² H. Stadie,⁴² G. Steinbrück,⁴² F. M. Stober,⁴² M. Stöver,⁴² D. Troendle,⁴² A. Vanhoefer,⁴² B. Vormwald,⁴² M. Akbiyik,⁴³ C. Barth,⁴³ M. Baselga,⁴³ S. Baur,⁴³ E. Butz,⁴³

- R. Caspart,⁴³ T. Chwalek,⁴³ F. Colombo,⁴³ W. De Boer,⁴³ A. Dierlamm,⁴³ K. El Morabit,⁴³ N. Faltermann,⁴³ B. Freund,⁴³ M. Giffels,⁴³ M. A. Harrendorf,⁴³ F. Hartmann,^{43,q} S. M. Heindl,⁴³ U. Husemann,⁴³ F. Kassel,^{43,q} I. Katkov,^{43,p} S. Kudella,⁴³ H. Mildner,⁴³ S. Mitra,⁴³ M. U. Mozer,⁴³ Th. Müller,⁴³ M. Plagge,⁴³ G. Quast,⁴³ K. Rabbertz,⁴³ M. Schröder,⁴³ I. Shvetsov,⁴³ G. Sieber,⁴³ H. J. Simonis,⁴³ R. Ulrich,⁴³ S. Wayand,⁴³ M. Weber,⁴³ T. Weiler,⁴³ S. Williamson,⁴³ C. Wöhrmann,⁴³ R. Wolf,⁴³ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁴ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁴ T. Gerasis,⁴⁴ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁴ D. Loukas,⁴⁴ G. Paspalaki,⁴⁴ I. Topsis-Giotis,⁴⁴ G. Karathanasis,⁴⁵ S. Kesisoglou,⁴⁵ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁵ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁵ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁵ E. Tziaferi,⁴⁵ K. Vellidis,⁴⁵ K. Kousouris,⁴⁶ I. Papakrivopoulos,⁴⁶ G. Tsipolitis,⁴⁶ I. Evangelou,⁴⁷ C. Foudas,⁴⁷ P. Gianneios,⁴⁷ P. Katsoulis,⁴⁷ P. Kokkas,⁴⁷ S. Mallios,⁴⁷ N. Manthos,⁴⁷ I. Papadopoulos,⁴⁷ E. Paradas,⁴⁷ J. Strologas,⁴⁷ F. A. Triantis,⁴⁷ D. Tsitsonis,⁴⁷ M. Bartók,^{48,u} M. Csanad,⁴⁸ N. Filipovic,⁴⁸ P. Major,⁴⁸ M. I. Nagy,⁴⁸ G. Pasztor,⁴⁸ O. Surányi,⁴⁸ G. I. Veres,⁴⁸ G. Bencze,⁴⁹ C. Hajdu,⁴⁹ D. Horvath,^{49,v} Á. Hunyadi,⁴⁹ F. Sikler,⁴⁹ T. Á. Vámi,⁴⁹ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁹ G. Vesztergombi,^{49,a,u} N. Beni,⁵⁰ S. Czellar,⁵⁰ J. Karancsi,^{50,w} A. Makovec,⁵⁰ J. Molnar,⁵⁰ Z. Szillasi,⁵⁰ P. Raics,⁵¹ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁵¹ B. Ujvari,⁵¹ S. Choudhury,⁵² J. R. Komaragiri,⁵² P. C. Tiwari,⁵² S. Bahinipati,^{53,x} C. Kar,⁵³ P. Mal,⁵³ K. Mandal,⁵³ A. Nayak,^{53,y} D. K. Sahoo,^{53,x} S. K. Swain,⁵³ S. Bansal,⁵⁴ S. B. Beri,⁵⁴ V. Bhatnagar,⁵⁴ S. Chauhan,⁵⁴ R. Chawla,⁵⁴ N. Dhingra,⁵⁴ R. Gupta,⁵⁴ A. Kaur,⁵⁴ A. Kaur,⁵⁴ M. Kaur,⁵⁴ S. Kaur,⁵⁴ R. Kumar,⁵⁴ P. Kumari,⁵⁴ M. Lohan,⁵⁴ A. Mehta,⁵⁴ K. Sandeep,⁵⁴ S. Sharma,⁵⁴ J. B. Singh,⁵⁴ G. Walia,⁵⁴ A. Bhardwaj,⁵⁵ B. C. Choudhary,⁵⁵ R. B. Garg,⁵⁵ M. Gola,⁵⁵ S. Keshri,⁵⁵ Ashok Kumar,⁵⁵ S. Malhotra,⁵⁵ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁵ P. Priyanka,⁵⁵ K. Ranjan,⁵⁵ Aashaq Shah,⁵⁵ R. Sharma,⁵⁵ R. Bhardwaj,^{56,z} M. Bharti,⁵⁶ R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ U. Bhawandeep,^{56,z} D. Bhowmik,⁵⁶ S. Dey,⁵⁶ S. Dutt,^{56,z} S. Dutta,⁵⁶ S. Ghosh,⁵⁶ K. Mondal,⁵⁶ S. Nandan,⁵⁶ A. Purohit,⁵⁶ P. K. Rout,⁵⁶ A. Roy,⁵⁶ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁶ S. Sarkar,⁵⁶ M. Sharan,⁵⁶ B. Singh,⁵⁶ S. Thakur,^{56,z} P. K. Behera,⁵⁷ R. Chudasama,⁵⁸ D. Dutta,⁵⁸ V. Jha,⁵⁸ V. Kumar,⁵⁸ P. K. Netrakanti,⁵⁸ L. M. Pant,⁵⁸ P. Shukla,⁵⁸ T. Aziz,⁵⁹ M. A. Bhat,⁵⁹ S. Dugad,⁵⁹ G. B. Mohanty,⁵⁹ N. Sur,⁵⁹ B. Sutar,⁵⁹ K. V. Ravindra,⁵⁹ S. Banerjee,⁶⁰ S. Bhattacharya,⁶⁰ S. Chatterjee,⁶⁰ P. Das,⁶⁰ M. Guchait,⁶⁰ Sa. Jain,⁶⁰ S. Karmakar,⁶⁰ S. Kumar,⁶⁰ M. Maity,^{60,aa} G. Majumder,⁶⁰ K. Mazumdar,⁶⁰ N. Sahoo,⁶⁰ T. Sarkar,^{60,aa} S. Chauhan,⁶¹ S. Dube,⁶¹ V. Hegde,⁶¹ A. Kapoor,⁶¹ K. Kothekar,⁶¹ S. Pandey,⁶¹ A. Rane,⁶¹ S. Sharma,⁶¹ S. Chenarani,^{62,bb} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶² S. M. Etesami,^{62,bb} M. Khakzad,⁶² M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶² M. Naseri,⁶² F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁶² B. Safarzadeh,^{62,cc} M. Zeinali,⁶² M. Felcini,⁶³ M. Grunewald,⁶³ M. Abbrescia,^{64a,64b} C. Calabria,^{64a,64b} A. Colaleo,^{64a} D. Creanza,^{64a,64c} L. Cristella,^{64a,64b} N. De Filippis,^{64a,64c} M. De Palma,^{64a,64b} A. Di Florio,^{64a,64b} F. Errico,^{64a,64b} L. Fiore,^{64a} A. Gelmi,^{64a,64b} G. Iaselli,^{64a,64c} M. Ince,^{64a,64b} S. Lezki,^{64a,64b} G. Maggi,^{64a,64c} M. Maggi,^{64a} G. Miniello,^{64a,64b} S. My,^{64a,64b} S. Nuzzo,^{64a,64b} A. Pompili,^{64a,64b} G. Pugliese,^{64a,64c} R. Radogna,^{64a} A. Ranieri,^{64a} G. Selvaggi,^{64a,64b} A. Sharma,^{64a} L. Silvestris,^{64a} R. Venditti,^{64a} P. Verwilligen,^{64a} G. Zito,^{64a} G. Abbiendi,^{65a} C. Battilana,^{65a,65b} D. Bonacorsi,^{65a,65b} L. Borghoni,^{65a,65b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{65a,65b} R. Campanini,^{65a,65b} P. Capiluppi,^{65a,65b} A. Castro,^{65a,65b} F. R. Cavallo,^{65a} S. S. Chhibra,^{65a,65b} C. Ciocca,^{65a} G. Codispoti,^{65a,65b} M. Cuffiani,^{65a,65b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{65a} F. Fabbri,^{65a} A. Fanfani,^{65a,65b} P. Giacomelli,^{65a} C. Grandi,^{65a} L. Guiducci,^{65a,65b} F. Iemmi,^{65a,65b} S. Marcellini,^{65a} G. Masetti,^{65a} A. Montanari,^{65a} F. L. Navarra,^{65a,65b} A. Perrotta,^{65a} F. Primavera,^{65a,65b,q} A. M. Rossi,^{65a,65b} T. Rovelli,^{65a,65b} G. P. Siroli,^{65a,65b} N. Tosi,^{65a} S. Albergo,^{66a,66b} A. Di Mattia,^{66a} R. Potenza,^{66a,66b} A. Tricoli,^{66a,66b} C. Tuve,^{66a,66b} G. Barbagli,^{67a} K. Chatterjee,^{67a,67b} V. Ciulli,^{67a,67b} C. Civinini,^{67a} R. D'Alessandro,^{67a,67b} E. Focardi,^{67a,67b} G. Latino,^{67a} P. Lenzi,^{67a,67b} M. Meschini,^{67a} S. Paoletti,^{67a} L. Russo,^{67a,dd} G. Sguazzoni,^{67a} D. Strom,^{67a} L. Viliani,^{67a} L. Benussi,⁶⁸ S. Bianco,⁶⁸ F. Fabbri,⁶⁸ D. Piccolo,⁶⁸ F. Ferro,^{69a} F. Ravera,^{69a,69b} E. Robutti,^{69a} S. Tosi,^{69a,69b} A. Benaglia,^{70a} A. Beschi,^{70a,70b} L. Brianza,^{70a,70b} F. Brivio,^{70a,70b} V. Ciriolo,^{70a,70b,q} S. Di Guida,^{70a,70b,q} M. E. Dinardo,^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi,^{70a,70b} S. Gennai,^{70a} A. Ghezzi,^{70a,70b} P. Govoni,^{70a,70b} M. Malberti,^{70a,70b} S. Malvezzi,^{70a} A. Massironi,^{70a,70b} D. Menasce,^{70a} L. Moroni,^{70a} M. Paganoni,^{70a,70b} D. Pedrini,^{70a} S. Ragazzi,^{70a,70b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis,^{70a,70b} D. Zuolo,^{70a} S. Buontempo,^{71a} N. Cavallo,^{71a,71c} A. Di Crescenzo,^{71a,71b} F. Fabozzi,^{71a,71c} F. Fienga,^{71a} G. Galati,^{71a} A. O. M. Iorio,^{71a,71b} W. A. Khan,^{71a} L. Lista,^{71a} S. Meola,^{71a,71d,q} P. Paolucci,^{71a,q} C. Sciacca,^{71a,71b} E. Voevodina,^{71a,71b} P. Azzi,^{72a} N. Bacchetta,^{72a} D. Bisello,^{72a,72b} A. Boletti,^{72a,72b} A. Bragagnolo,^{72a} R. Carlin,^{72a,72b} P. Checchia,^{72a} M. Dall'Osso,^{72a,72b} P. De Castro Manzano,^{72a} T. Dorigo,^{72a} U. Dosselli,^{72a} F. Gasparini,^{72a,72b} U. Gasparini,^{72a,72b} A. Gozzelino,^{72a} S. Lacaprara,^{72a} P. Lujan,^{72a} M. Margoni,^{72a,72b} A. T. Meneguzzo,^{72a,72b} J. Pazzini,^{72a,72b} P. Ronchese,^{72a,72b} R. Rossin,^{72a,72b} F. Simonetto,^{72a,72b} A. Tiko,^{72a} E. Torassa,^{72a} M. Zanetti,^{72a,72b} P. Zotto,^{72a,72b} G. Zumerle,^{72a,72b} A. Braghieri,^{73a} A. Magnani,^{73a} P. Montagna,^{73a,73b} S. P. Ratti,^{73a,73b} V. Re,^{73a} M. Ressegotti,^{73a,73b} C. Riccardi,^{73a,73b} P. Salvini,^{73a} I. Vai,^{73a,73b} P. Vitulo,^{73a,73b} L. Alunni Solestizi,^{74a,74b} M. Biasini,^{74a,74b} G. M. Bilei,^{74a} C. Cecchi,^{74a,74b} D. Ciangottini,^{74a,74b} L. Fanò,^{74a,74b} P. Lariccia,^{74a,74b} R. Leonardi,^{74a,74b} E. Manoni,^{74a} G. Mantovani,^{74a,74b} V. Mariani,^{74a,74b} M. Menichelli,^{74a} A. Rossi,^{74a,74b} A. Santocchia,^{74a,74b} D. Spiga,^{74a}

K. Androsov,^{75a} P. Azzurri,^{75a} G. Bagliesi,^{75a} L. Bianchini,^{75a} T. Boccali,^{75a} L. Borrello,^{75a} R. Castaldi,^{75a} M. A. Ciocci,^{75a,75b} R. Dell'Orso,^{75a} G. Fedi,^{75a} F. Fiori,^{75a,75c} L. Giannini,^{75a,75c} A. Giassi,^{75a} M. T. Grippo,^{75a} F. Ligabue,^{75a,75c} E. Manca,^{75a,75c} G. Mandorli,^{75a,75c} A. Messineo,^{75a,75b} F. Palla,^{75a} A. Rizzi,^{75a,75b} P. Spagnolo,^{75a} R. Tenchini,^{75a} G. Tonelli,^{75a,75b} A. Venturi,^{75a} P. G. Verдини,^{75a} L. Barone,^{76a,76b} F. Cavallari,^{76a} M. Cipriani,^{76a,76b} N. Daci,^{76a} D. Del Re,^{76a,76b} E. Di Marco,^{76a,76b} M. Diemoz,^{76a} S. Gelli,^{76a,76b} E. Longo,^{76a,76b} B. Marzocchi,^{76a,76b} P. Meridiani,^{76a} G. Organtini,^{76a,76b} F. Pandolfi,^{76a} R. Paramatti,^{76a,76b} F. Preiato,^{76a,76b} S. Rahatlou,^{76a,76b} C. Rovelli,^{76a} F. Santanastasio,^{76a,76b} N. Amapane,^{77a,77b} R. Arcidiacono,^{77a,77c} S. Argiro,^{77a,77b} M. Arneodo,^{77a,77c} N. Bartosik,^{77a} R. Bellan,^{77a,77b} C. Biino,^{77a} N. Cartiglia,^{77a} F. Cenna,^{77a,77b} S. Cometti,^{77a} M. Costa,^{77a,77b} R. Covarelli,^{77a,77b} N. Demaria,^{77a} B. Kiani,^{77a,77b} C. Mariotti,^{77a} S. Maselli,^{77a} E. Migliore,^{77a,77b} V. Monaco,^{77a,77b} E. Monteil,^{77a,77b} M. Monteno,^{77a} M. M. Obertino,^{77a,77b} L. Pacher,^{77a,77b} N. Pastrone,^{77a} M. Pelliccioni,^{77a} G. L. Pinna Angioni,^{77a,77b} A. Romero,^{77a,77b} M. Ruspa,^{77a,77c} R. Sacchi,^{77a,77b} K. Shchelina,^{77a,77b} V. Sola,^{77a} A. Solano,^{77a,77b} D. Soldi,^{77a} A. Staiano,^{77a} S. Belforte,^{78a} V. Candelise,^{78a,78b} M. Casarsa,^{78a} F. Cossutti,^{78a} G. Della Ricca,^{78a,78b} F. Vazzoler,^{78a,78b} A. Zanetti,^{78a} D. H. Kim,⁷⁹ G. N. Kim,⁷⁹ M. S. Kim,⁷⁹ J. Lee,⁷⁹ S. Lee,⁷⁹ S. W. Lee,⁷⁹ C. S. Moon,⁷⁹ Y. D. Oh,⁷⁹ S. Sekmen,⁷⁹ D. C. Son,⁷⁹ Y. C. Yang,⁷⁹ H. Kim,⁸⁰ D. H. Moon,⁸⁰ G. Oh,⁸⁰ J. Goh,^{81,ee} T. J. Kim,⁸¹ S. Cho,⁸² S. Choi,⁸² Y. Go,⁸² D. Gyun,⁸² S. Ha,⁸² B. Hong,⁸² Y. Jo,⁸² K. Lee,⁸² K. S. Lee,⁸² S. Lee,⁸² J. Lim,⁸² S. K. Park,⁸² Y. Roh,⁸² H. S. Kim,⁸³ J. Almond,⁸⁴ J. Kim,⁸⁴ J. S. Kim,⁸⁴ H. Lee,⁸⁴ K. Lee,⁸⁴ K. Nam,⁸⁴ S. B. Oh,⁸⁴ B. C. Radburn-Smith,⁸⁴ S. h. Seo,⁸⁴ U. K. Yang,⁸⁴ H. D. Yoo,⁸⁴ G. B. Yu,⁸⁴ D. Jeon,⁸⁵ H. Kim,⁸⁵ J. H. Kim,⁸⁵ J. S. H. Lee,⁸⁵ I. C. Park,⁸⁵ Y. Choi,⁸⁶ C. Hwang,⁸⁶ J. Lee,⁸⁶ I. Yu,⁸⁶ V. Dudenias,⁸⁷ A. Juodagalvis,⁸⁷ J. Vaitkus,⁸⁷ I. Ahmed,⁸⁸ Z. A. Ibrahim,⁸⁸ M. A. B. Md Ali,^{88,ff} F. Mohamad Idris,^{88,gg} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah,⁸⁸ M. N. Yusli,⁸⁸ Z. Zolkapli,⁸⁸ A. Castaneda Hernandez,⁸⁹ J. A. Murillo Quijada,⁸⁹ M. C. Duran-Osuna,⁹⁰ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁹⁰ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁹⁰ G. Ramirez-Sanchez,⁹⁰ I. Heredia-De La Cruz,^{90,hh} R. I. Rabadan-Trejo,⁹⁰ R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁹⁰ J. Mejia Guisao,⁹⁰ R. Reyes-Almanza,⁹⁰ M. Ramirez-Garcia,⁹⁰ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁹⁰ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁹¹ C. Oropeza Barrera,⁹¹ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁹¹ J. Eysermans,⁹² I. Pedraza,⁹² H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁹² C. Uribe Estrada,⁹² A. Morelos Pineda,⁹³ D. Krofcheck,⁹⁴ S. Bheesette,⁹⁵ P. H. Butler,⁹⁵ A. Ahmad,⁹⁶ M. Ahmad,⁹⁶ M. I. Asghar,⁹⁶ Q. Hassan,⁹⁶ H. R. Hoorani,⁹⁶ A. Saddique,⁹⁶ M. A. Shah,⁹⁶ M. Shoaib,⁹⁶ M. Waqas,⁹⁶ H. Bialkowska,⁹⁷ M. Bluj,⁹⁷ B. Boimska,⁹⁷ T. Frueboes,⁹⁷ M. Górski,⁹⁷ M. Kazana,⁹⁷ K. Nawrocki,⁹⁷ M. Szleper,⁹⁷ P. Traczyk,⁹⁷ P. Zalewski,⁹⁷ K. Bunkowski,⁹⁸ A. Byszuk,^{98,ii} K. Doroba,⁹⁸ A. Kalinowski,⁹⁸ M. Konecki,⁹⁸ J. Krolikowski,⁹⁸ M. Misiura,⁹⁸ M. Olszewski,⁹⁸ A. Pyskir,⁹⁸ M. Walczak,⁹⁸ P. Bargassa,⁹⁹ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁹⁹ A. Di Francesco,⁹⁹ P. Faccioli,⁹⁹ B. Galinhas,⁹⁹ M. Gallinaro,⁹⁹ J. Hollar,⁹⁹ N. Leonardo,⁹⁹ L. Lloret Iglesias,⁹⁹ M. V. Nemallapudi,⁹⁹ J. Seixas,⁹⁹ G. Strong,⁹⁹ O. Toldaiev,⁹⁹ D. Vadrucchio,⁹⁹ J. Varela,⁹⁹ M. Gavrilenko,¹⁰⁰ A. Golunov,¹⁰⁰ I. Golutvin,¹⁰⁰ N. Gorbounov,¹⁰⁰ I. Gorbunov,¹⁰⁰ A. Kamenev,¹⁰⁰ V. Karjavin,¹⁰⁰ V. Korenkov,¹⁰⁰ A. Lanev,¹⁰⁰ A. Malakhov,¹⁰⁰ V. Matveev,^{100,jj,kk} P. Moisenz,¹⁰⁰ V. Palichik,¹⁰⁰ V. Perelygin,¹⁰⁰ M. Savina,¹⁰⁰ S. Shmatov,¹⁰⁰ V. Smirnov,¹⁰⁰ N. Voytishin,¹⁰⁰ A. Zarubin,¹⁰⁰ V. Golovtsov,¹⁰¹ Y. Ivanov,¹⁰¹ V. Kim,^{101,ll} E. Kuznetsova,^{101,mm} P. Levchenko,¹⁰¹ V. Murzin,¹⁰¹ V. Oreshkin,¹⁰¹ I. Smirnov,¹⁰¹ D. Sosnov,¹⁰¹ V. Sulimov,¹⁰¹ L. Uvarov,¹⁰¹ S. Vasilov,¹⁰¹ A. Vorobyev,¹⁰¹ Yu. Andreev,¹⁰² A. Dermenev,¹⁰² S. Gninenko,¹⁰² N. Golubev,¹⁰² A. Karneyev,¹⁰² M. Kirsanov,¹⁰² N. Krasnikov,¹⁰² A. Pashenkov,¹⁰² D. Tlisov,¹⁰² A. Toropin,¹⁰² V. Epshteyn,¹⁰³ V. Gavrilov,¹⁰³ N. Lychkovskaya,¹⁰³ V. Popov,¹⁰³ I. Pozdnyakov,¹⁰³ G. Safronov,¹⁰³ A. Spiridonov,¹⁰³ A. Steppenov,¹⁰³ V. Stolin,¹⁰³ M. Toms,¹⁰³ E. Vlasov,¹⁰³ A. Zhokin,¹⁰³ T. Aushev,¹⁰⁴ M. Chadeeva,^{105,nn} P. Parygin,¹⁰⁵ D. Philippov,¹⁰⁵ S. Polikarpov,^{105,nn} E. Popova,¹⁰⁵ V. Rusinov,¹⁰⁵ V. Andreev,¹⁰⁶ M. Azarkin,^{106,kk} I. Dremin,^{106,kk} M. Kirakosyan,^{106,kk} S. V. Rusakov,¹⁰⁶ A. Terkulov,¹⁰⁶ A. Baskakov,¹⁰⁷ A. Belyaev,¹⁰⁷ E. Boos,¹⁰⁷ M. Dubinin,^{107,oo} L. Dudko,¹⁰⁷ A. Ershov,¹⁰⁷ A. Gribushin,¹⁰⁷ V. Klyukhin,¹⁰⁷ O. Kodolova,¹⁰⁷ I. Lokhtin,¹⁰⁷ I. Miagkov,¹⁰⁷ S. Obraztsov,¹⁰⁷ S. Petrushanko,¹⁰⁷ V. Savrin,¹⁰⁷ A. Snigirev,¹⁰⁷ V. Blinov,^{108,pp} T. Dimova,^{108,pp} L. Kardapoltsev,^{108,pp} D. Shtol,^{108,pp} Y. Skovpen,^{108,pp} I. Azhgirey,¹⁰⁹ I. Bayshev,¹⁰⁹ S. Bitiukov,¹⁰⁹ D. Elumakhov,¹⁰⁹ A. Godizov,¹⁰⁹ V. Kachanov,¹⁰⁹ A. Kalinin,¹⁰⁹ D. Konstantinov,¹⁰⁹ P. Mandrik,¹⁰⁹ V. Petrov,¹⁰⁹ R. Ryutin,¹⁰⁹ S. Slabospitskii,¹⁰⁹ A. Sobol,¹⁰⁹ S. Troshin,¹⁰⁹ N. Tyurin,¹⁰⁹ A. Uzunian,¹⁰⁹ A. Volkov,¹⁰⁹ A. Babaev,¹¹⁰ S. Baidali,¹¹⁰ V. Okhotnikov,¹¹⁰ P. Adzic,^{111,qq} P. Cirkovic,¹¹¹ D. Devetak,¹¹¹ M. Dordevic,¹¹¹ J. Milosevic,¹¹¹ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹¹² A. Álvarez Fernández,¹¹² I. Bachiller,¹¹² M. Barrio Luna,¹¹² J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,¹¹² M. Cerrada,¹¹² N. Colino,¹¹² B. De La Cruz,¹¹² A. Delgado Peris,¹¹² C. Fernandez Bedoya,¹¹² J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹¹² J. Flix,¹¹² M. C. Fouz,¹¹² O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹¹² S. Goy Lopez,¹¹² J. M. Hernandez,¹¹² M. I. Josa,¹¹² D. Moran,¹¹² A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹¹² J. Puerta Pelayo,¹¹² I. Redondo,¹¹² L. Romero,¹¹² M. S. Soares,¹¹² A. Triossi,¹¹² C. Albajar,¹¹³ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹¹³ J. Cuevas,¹¹⁴ C. Erice,¹¹⁴ J. Fernandez Menendez,¹¹⁴ S. Folgueras,¹¹⁴ I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹¹⁴ J. R. González Fernández,¹¹⁴ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹¹⁴ V. Rodríguez Bouza,¹¹⁴ S. Sanchez Cruz,¹¹⁴

- P. Vischia,¹¹⁴ J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹¹⁴ I. J. Cabrillo,¹¹⁵ A. Calderon,¹¹⁵ B. Chazin Quero,¹¹⁵ J. Duarte Campderros,¹¹⁵ M. Fernandez,¹¹⁵ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹¹⁵ A. García Alonso,¹¹⁵ J. Garcia-Ferrero,¹¹⁵ G. Gomez,¹¹⁵ A. Lopez Virto,¹¹⁵ J. Marco,¹¹⁵ C. Martinez Rivero,¹¹⁵ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,¹¹⁵ F. Matorras,¹¹⁵ J. Piedra Gomez,¹¹⁵ C. Prieels,¹¹⁵ T. Rodrigo,¹¹⁵ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,¹¹⁵ L. Scodellaro,¹¹⁵ N. Trevisani,¹¹⁵ I. Vila,¹¹⁵ R. Vilar Cortabitarte,¹¹⁵ D. Abbaneo,¹¹⁶ B. Akgun,¹¹⁶ E. Auffray,¹¹⁶ P. Baillon,¹¹⁶ A. H. Ball,¹¹⁶ D. Barney,¹¹⁶ J. Bendavid,¹¹⁶ M. Bianco,¹¹⁶ A. Bocci,¹¹⁶ C. Botta,¹¹⁶ E. Brondolin,¹¹⁶ T. Camporesi,¹¹⁶ M. Cepeda,¹¹⁶ G. Cerminara,¹¹⁶ E. Chapon,¹¹⁶ Y. Chen,¹¹⁶ G. Cucciati,¹¹⁶ D. d'Enterria,¹¹⁶ A. Dabrowski,¹¹⁶ V. Daponte,¹¹⁶ A. David,¹¹⁶ A. De Roeck,¹¹⁶ N. Deelen,¹¹⁶ M. Dobson,¹¹⁶ M. Dünser,¹¹⁶ N. Dupont,¹¹⁶ A. Elliott-Peisert,¹¹⁶ P. Everaerts,¹¹⁶ F. Fallavollita,^{116,rr} D. Fasanella,¹¹⁶ G. Franzoni,¹¹⁶ J. Fulcher,¹¹⁶ W. Funk,¹¹⁶ D. Gigi,¹¹⁶ A. Gilbert,¹¹⁶ K. Gill,¹¹⁶ F. Glege,¹¹⁶ M. Guilbaud,¹¹⁶ D. Gulhan,¹¹⁶ J. Hegeman,¹¹⁶ V. Innocente,¹¹⁶ A. Jafari,¹¹⁶ P. Janot,¹¹⁶ O. Karacheban,^{116,t} J. Kieseler,¹¹⁶ A. Kornmayer,¹¹⁶ M. Krammer,^{116,b} C. Lange,¹¹⁶ P. Lecoq,¹¹⁶ C. Lourenço,¹¹⁶ L. Malgeri,¹¹⁶ M. Mannelli,¹¹⁶ F. Meijers,¹¹⁶ J. A. Merlin,¹¹⁶ S. Mersi,¹¹⁶ E. Meschi,¹¹⁶ P. Milenovic,^{116,ss} F. Moortgat,¹¹⁶ M. Mulders,¹¹⁶ J. Ngadiuba,¹¹⁶ S. Orfanelli,¹¹⁶ L. Orsini,¹¹⁶ F. Pantaleo,^{116,q} L. Pape,¹¹⁶ E. Perez,¹¹⁶ M. Peruzzi,¹¹⁶ A. Petrilli,¹¹⁶ G. Petrucciani,¹¹⁶ A. Pfeiffer,¹¹⁶ M. Pierini,¹¹⁶ F. M. Pitters,¹¹⁶ D. Rabady,¹¹⁶ A. Racz,¹¹⁶ T. Reis,¹¹⁶ G. Rolandi,^{116,tt} M. Rovere,¹¹⁶ H. Sakulin,¹¹⁶ C. Schäfer,¹¹⁶ C. Schwick,¹¹⁶ M. Seidel,¹¹⁶ M. Selvaggi,¹¹⁶ A. Sharma,¹¹⁶ P. Silva,¹¹⁶ P. Sphicas,^{116,uu} A. Stakia,¹¹⁶ J. Stegmann,¹¹⁶ M. Tosi,¹¹⁶ D. Treille,¹¹⁶ A. Tsirou,¹¹⁶ V. Veckalns,^{116,vv} W. D. Zeuner,¹¹⁶ L. Caminada,^{117,ww} K. Deiters,¹¹⁷ W. Erdmann,¹¹⁷ R. Horisberger,¹¹⁷ Q. Ingram,¹¹⁷ H. C. Kaestli,¹¹⁷ D. Kotlinski,¹¹⁷ U. Langenegger,¹¹⁷ T. Rohe,¹¹⁷ S. A. Wiederkehr,¹¹⁷ M. Backhaus,¹¹⁸ L. Bäni,¹¹⁸ P. Berger,¹¹⁸ N. Chernyavskaya,¹¹⁸ G. Dissertori,¹¹⁸ M. Dittmar,¹¹⁸ M. Donegà,¹¹⁸ C. Dorfer,¹¹⁸ C. Grab,¹¹⁸ C. Heidegger,¹¹⁸ D. Hits,¹¹⁸ J. Hoss,¹¹⁸ T. Klijnsma,¹¹⁸ W. Lustermann,¹¹⁸ R. A. Manzoni,¹¹⁸ M. Marionneau,¹¹⁸ M. T. Meinhard,¹¹⁸ F. Micheli,¹¹⁸ P. Musella,¹¹⁸ F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹¹⁸ J. Pata,¹¹⁸ F. Pauss,¹¹⁸ G. Perrin,¹¹⁸ L. Perrozzi,¹¹⁸ S. Pigazzini,¹¹⁸ M. Quittnat,¹¹⁸ D. Ruini,¹¹⁸ D. A. Sanz Becerra,¹¹⁸ M. Schönenberger,¹¹⁸ L. Shchutska,¹¹⁸ V. R. Tavolaro,¹¹⁸ K. Theofilatos,¹¹⁸ M. L. Vesterbacka Olsson,¹¹⁸ R. Wallny,¹¹⁸ D. H. Zhu,¹¹⁸ T. K. Aarrestad,¹¹⁹ C. Amsler,^{119,xx} D. Brzhechko,¹¹⁹ M. F. Canelli,¹¹⁹ A. De Cosa,¹¹⁹ R. Del Burgo,¹¹⁹ S. Donato,¹¹⁹ C. Galloni,¹¹⁹ T. Hreus,¹¹⁹ B. Kilminster,¹¹⁹ I. Neutelings,¹¹⁹ D. Pinna,¹¹⁹ G. Rauco,¹¹⁹ P. Robmann,¹¹⁹ D. Salerno,¹¹⁹ K. Schweiger,¹¹⁹ C. Seitz,¹¹⁹ Y. Takahashi,¹¹⁹ A. Zucchetta,¹¹⁹ Y. H. Chang,¹²⁰ K. y. Cheng,¹²⁰ T. H. Doan,¹²⁰ Sh. Jain,¹²⁰ R. Khurana,¹²⁰ C. M. Kuo,¹²⁰ W. Lin,¹²⁰ A. Pozdnyakov,¹²⁰ S. S. Yu,¹²⁰ P. Chang,¹²¹ Y. Chao,¹²¹ K. F. Chen,¹²¹ P. H. Chen,¹²¹ W.-S. Hou,¹²¹ Arun Kumar,¹²¹ Y. y. Li,¹²¹ Y. F. Liu,¹²¹ R.-S. Lu,¹²¹ E. Paganis,¹²¹ A. Psallidas,¹²¹ A. Steen,¹²¹ J. f. Tsai,¹²¹ B. Asavapibhop,¹²² N. Srimanobhas,¹²² N. Suwonjandee,¹²² A. Bat,¹²³ F. Boran,¹²³ S. Cerci,^{123,yy} S. Damarseckin,¹²³ Z. S. Demiroglu,¹²³ F. Dolek,¹²³ C. Dozen,¹²³ I. Dumanoglu,¹²³ S. Girgis,¹²³ G. Gokbulut,¹²³ Y. Guler,¹²³ E. Gurpinar,¹²³ I. Hos,^{123,zz} C. Isik,¹²³ E. E. Kagal,^{123,aaa} O. Kara,¹²³ A. Kayis Topaksu,¹²³ U. Kiminsu,¹²³ M. Oglakci,¹²³ G. Onengut,¹²³ K. Ozdemir,^{123,bbb} S. Ozturk,^{123,ccc} D. Sunar Cerci,^{123,yy} B. Tali,^{123,yy} U. G. Tok,¹²³ S. Turkcapar,¹²³ I. S. Zorbakir,¹²³ C. Zorbilmez,¹²³ B. Isildak,^{124,ddd} G. Karapinar,^{124,eee} M. Yalvac,¹²⁴ M. Zeyrek,¹²⁴ I. O. Atakisi,¹²⁵ E. Gülmez,¹²⁵ M. Kaya,^{125,fff} O. Kaya,^{125,ggg} S. Tekten,¹²⁵ E. A. Yetkin,^{125,hhh} M. N. Agaras,¹²⁶ S. Atay,¹²⁶ A. Cakir,¹²⁶ K. Cankocak,¹²⁶ Y. Komurcu,¹²⁶ S. Sen,^{126,iii} B. Grynyov,¹²⁷ L. Levchuk,¹²⁸ F. Ball,¹²⁹ L. Beck,¹²⁹ J. J. Brooke,¹²⁹ D. Burns,¹²⁹ E. Clement,¹²⁹ D. Cussans,¹²⁹ O. Davignon,¹²⁹ H. Flacher,¹²⁹ J. Goldstein,¹²⁹ G. P. Heath,¹²⁹ H. F. Heath,¹²⁹ L. Kreczko,¹²⁹ D. M. Newbold,^{129,jjj} S. Paramesvaran,¹²⁹ B. Penning,¹²⁹ T. Sakuma,¹²⁹ D. Smith,¹²⁹ V. J. Smith,¹²⁹ J. Taylor,¹²⁹ A. Titterton,¹²⁹ K. W. Bell,¹³⁰ A. Belyaev,^{130,kkk} C. Brew,¹³⁰ R. M. Brown,¹³⁰ D. Cieri,¹³⁰ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹³⁰ J. A. Coughlan,¹³⁰ K. Harder,¹³⁰ S. Harper,¹³⁰ J. Linacre,¹³⁰ E. Olaiya,¹³⁰ D. Petyt,¹³⁰ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹³⁰ A. Thea,¹³⁰ I. R. Tomalin,¹³⁰ T. Williams,¹³⁰ W. J. Womersley,¹³⁰ G. Auzinger,¹³¹ R. Bainbridge,¹³¹ P. Bloch,¹³¹ J. Borg,¹³¹ S. Breeze,¹³¹ O. Buchmuller,¹³¹ A. Bundock,¹³¹ S. Casasso,¹³¹ D. Colling,¹³¹ L. Corpe,¹³¹ P. Dauncey,¹³¹ G. Davies,¹³¹ M. Della Negra,¹³¹ R. Di Maria,¹³¹ Y. Haddad,¹³¹ G. Hall,¹³¹ G. Iles,¹³¹ T. James,¹³¹ M. Komm,¹³¹ C. Laner,¹³¹ L. Lyons,¹³¹ A.-M. Magnan,¹³¹ S. Malik,¹³¹ A. Martelli,¹³¹ J. Nash,^{131,lll} A. Nikitenko,^{131,h} V. Palladino,¹³¹ M. Pesaresi,¹³¹ A. Richards,¹³¹ A. Rose,¹³¹ E. Scott,¹³¹ C. Seez,¹³¹ A. Shtipliyski,¹³¹ G. Singh,¹³¹ M. Stoye,¹³¹ T. Streblor,¹³¹ S. Summers,¹³¹ A. Tapper,¹³¹ K. Uchida,¹³¹ T. Virdee,^{131,q} N. Wardle,¹³¹ D. Winterbottom,¹³¹ J. Wright,¹³¹ S. C. Zenz,¹³¹ J. E. Cole,¹³² P. R. Hobson,¹³² A. Khan,¹³² P. Kyberd,¹³² C. K. Mackay,¹³² A. Morton,¹³² I. D. Reid,¹³² L. Teodorescu,¹³² S. Zahid,¹³² K. Call,¹³³ J. Dittmann,¹³³ K. Hatakeyama,¹³³ H. Liu,¹³³ C. Madrid,¹³³ B. McMaster,¹³³ N. Pastika,¹³³ C. Smith,¹³³ R. Bartek,¹³⁴ A. Dominguez,¹³⁴ A. Buccilli,¹³⁵ S. I. Cooper,¹³⁵ C. Henderson,¹³⁵ P. Rumerio,¹³⁵ C. West,¹³⁵ D. Arcaro,¹³⁶ T. Bose,¹³⁶ D. Gastler,¹³⁶ D. Rankin,¹³⁶ C. Richardson,¹³⁶ J. Rohlf,¹³⁶ L. Sulak,¹³⁶ D. Zou,¹³⁶ G. Benelli,¹³⁷ X. Coubez,¹³⁷ D. Cutts,¹³⁷ M. Hadley,¹³⁷ J. Hakala,¹³⁷ U. Heintz,¹³⁷ J. M. Hogan,^{137,mmm} K. H. M. Kwok,¹³⁷ E. Laird,¹³⁷ G. Landsberg,¹³⁷ J. Lee,¹³⁷ Z. Mao,¹³⁷

M. Narain,¹³⁷ S. Piperov,¹³⁷ S. Sagir,^{137,nnn} R. Syarif,¹³⁷ E. Usai,¹³⁷ D. Yu,¹³⁷ R. Band,¹³⁸ C. Brainerd,¹³⁸ R. Breedon,¹³⁸
 D. Burns,¹³⁸ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,¹³⁸ M. Chertok,¹³⁸ J. Conway,¹³⁸ R. Conway,¹³⁸ P. T. Cox,¹³⁸ R. Erbacher,¹³⁸
 C. Flores,¹³⁸ G. Funk,¹³⁸ W. Ko,¹³⁸ O. Kukral,¹³⁸ R. Lander,¹³⁸ C. Mclean,¹³⁸ M. Mulhearn,¹³⁸ D. Pellett,¹³⁸ J. Pilot,¹³⁸
 S. Shalhout,¹³⁸ M. Shi,¹³⁸ D. Stolp,¹³⁸ D. Taylor,¹³⁸ K. Tos,¹³⁸ M. Tripathi,¹³⁸ Z. Wang,¹³⁸ F. Zhang,¹³⁸ M. Bachtis,¹³⁹
 C. Bravo,¹³⁹ R. Cousins,¹³⁹ A. Dasgupta,¹³⁹ A. Florent,¹³⁹ J. Hauser,¹³⁹ M. Ignatenko,¹³⁹ N. Mccoll,¹³⁹ S. Regnard,¹³⁹
 D. Saltzberg,¹³⁹ C. Schnaible,¹³⁹ V. Valuev,¹³⁹ E. Bouvier,¹⁴⁰ K. Burt,¹⁴⁰ R. Clare,¹⁴⁰ J. W. Gary,¹⁴⁰
 S. M. A. Ghiasi Shirazi,¹⁴⁰ G. Hanson,¹⁴⁰ G. Karapostoli,¹⁴⁰ E. Kennedy,¹⁴⁰ F. Lacroix,¹⁴⁰ O. R. Long,¹⁴⁰
 M. Olmedo Negrete,¹⁴⁰ M. I. Paneva,¹⁴⁰ W. Si,¹⁴⁰ L. Wang,¹⁴⁰ H. Wei,¹⁴⁰ S. Wimpenny,¹⁴⁰ B. R. Yates,¹⁴⁰ J. G. Branson,¹⁴¹
 S. Cittolin,¹⁴¹ M. Derdzinski,¹⁴¹ R. Gerosa,¹⁴¹ D. Gilbert,¹⁴¹ B. Hashemi,¹⁴¹ A. Holzner,¹⁴¹ D. Klein,¹⁴¹ G. Kole,¹⁴¹
 V. Krutelyov,¹⁴¹ J. Letts,¹⁴¹ M. Masciovecchio,¹⁴¹ D. Olivito,¹⁴¹ S. Padhi,¹⁴¹ M. Pieri,¹⁴¹ M. Sani,¹⁴¹ V. Sharma,¹⁴¹
 S. Simon,¹⁴¹ M. Tadel,¹⁴¹ A. Vartak,¹⁴¹ S. Wasserbaech,^{141,ooo} J. Wood,¹⁴¹ F. Würthwein,¹⁴¹ A. Yagil,¹⁴¹
 G. Zevi Della Porta,¹⁴¹ N. Amin,¹⁴² R. Bhandari,¹⁴² J. Bradmiller-Feld,¹⁴² C. Campagnari,¹⁴² M. Citron,¹⁴² A. Dishaw,¹⁴²
 V. Dutta,¹⁴² M. Franco Sevilla,¹⁴² L. Gouskos,¹⁴² R. Heller,¹⁴² J. Incandela,¹⁴² A. Ovcharova,¹⁴² H. Qu,¹⁴² J. Richman,¹⁴²
 D. Stuart,¹⁴² I. Suarez,¹⁴² S. Wang,¹⁴² J. Yoo,¹⁴² D. Anderson,¹⁴³ A. Bornheim,¹⁴³ J. M. Lawhorn,¹⁴³ H. B. Newman,¹⁴³
 T. Q. Nguyen,¹⁴³ M. Spiropulu,¹⁴³ J. R. Vlimant,¹⁴³ R. Wilkinson,¹⁴³ S. Xie,¹⁴³ Z. Zhang,¹⁴³ R. Y. Zhu,¹⁴³ M. B. Andrews,¹⁴⁴
 T. Ferguson,¹⁴⁴ T. Mudholkar,¹⁴⁴ M. Paulini,¹⁴⁴ M. Sun,¹⁴⁴ I. Vorobiev,¹⁴⁴ M. Weinberg,¹⁴⁴ J. P. Cumalat,¹⁴⁵ W. T. Ford,¹⁴⁵
 F. Jensen,¹⁴⁵ A. Johnson,¹⁴⁵ M. Krohn,¹⁴⁵ S. Leontsinis,¹⁴⁵ E. MacDonald,¹⁴⁵ T. Mulholland,¹⁴⁵ K. Stenson,¹⁴⁵
 K. A. Ulmer,¹⁴⁵ S. R. Wagner,¹⁴⁵ J. Alexander,¹⁴⁶ J. Chaves,¹⁴⁶ Y. Cheng,¹⁴⁶ J. Chu,¹⁴⁶ A. Datta,¹⁴⁶ K. McDermott,¹⁴⁶
 N. Mirman,¹⁴⁶ J. R. Patterson,¹⁴⁶ D. Quach,¹⁴⁶ A. Rinkevicius,¹⁴⁶ A. Ryd,¹⁴⁶ L. Skinnari,¹⁴⁶ L. Soffi,¹⁴⁶ S. M. Tan,¹⁴⁶
 Z. Tao,¹⁴⁶ J. Thom,¹⁴⁶ J. Tucker,¹⁴⁶ P. Wittich,¹⁴⁶ M. Zientek,¹⁴⁶ S. Abdullin,¹⁴⁷ M. Albrow,¹⁴⁷ M. Alyari,¹⁴⁷ G. Apollinari,¹⁴⁷
 A. Apresyan,¹⁴⁷ A. Apyan,¹⁴⁷ S. Banerjee,¹⁴⁷ L. A. T. Bauerdick,¹⁴⁷ A. Beretvas,¹⁴⁷ J. Berryhill,¹⁴⁷ P. C. Bhat,¹⁴⁷
 G. Bolla,^{147,a} K. Burkett,¹⁴⁷ J. N. Butler,¹⁴⁷ A. Canepa,¹⁴⁷ G. B. Cerati,¹⁴⁷ H. W. K. Cheung,¹⁴⁷ F. Chlebana,¹⁴⁷
 M. Cremonesi,¹⁴⁷ J. Duarte,¹⁴⁷ V. D. Elvira,¹⁴⁷ J. Freeman,¹⁴⁷ Z. Gece,¹⁴⁷ E. Gottschalk,¹⁴⁷ L. Gray,¹⁴⁷ D. Green,¹⁴⁷
 S. Grünendahl,¹⁴⁷ O. Gutsche,¹⁴⁷ J. Hanlon,¹⁴⁷ R. M. Harris,¹⁴⁷ S. Hasegawa,¹⁴⁷ J. Hirschauer,¹⁴⁷ Z. Hu,¹⁴⁷ B. Jayatilaka,¹⁴⁷
 S. Jindariani,¹⁴⁷ M. Johnson,¹⁴⁷ U. Joshi,¹⁴⁷ B. Klima,¹⁴⁷ M. J. Kortelainen,¹⁴⁷ B. Kreis,¹⁴⁷ S. Lammel,¹⁴⁷ D. Lincoln,¹⁴⁷
 R. Lipton,¹⁴⁷ M. Liu,¹⁴⁷ T. Liu,¹⁴⁷ J. Lykken,¹⁴⁷ K. Maeshima,¹⁴⁷ J. M. Marraffino,¹⁴⁷ D. Mason,¹⁴⁷ P. McBride,¹⁴⁷
 P. Merkel,¹⁴⁷ S. Mrenna,¹⁴⁷ S. Nahn,¹⁴⁷ V. O'Dell,¹⁴⁷ K. Pedro,¹⁴⁷ C. Pena,¹⁴⁷ O. Prokofyev,¹⁴⁷ G. Rakness,¹⁴⁷ L. Ristori,¹⁴⁷
 A. Savoy-Navarro,^{147,ppp} B. Schneider,¹⁴⁷ E. Sexton-Kennedy,¹⁴⁷ A. Soha,¹⁴⁷ W. J. Spalding,¹⁴⁷ L. Spiegel,¹⁴⁷ S. Stoynev,¹⁴⁷
 J. Strait,¹⁴⁷ N. Strobbe,¹⁴⁷ L. Taylor,¹⁴⁷ S. Tkaczyk,¹⁴⁷ N. V. Tran,¹⁴⁷ L. Uplegger,¹⁴⁷ E. W. Vaandering,¹⁴⁷ C. Vernieri,¹⁴⁷
 M. Verzocchi,¹⁴⁷ R. Vidal,¹⁴⁷ M. Wang,¹⁴⁷ H. A. Weber,¹⁴⁷ A. Whitbeck,¹⁴⁷ D. Acosta,¹⁴⁸ P. Avery,¹⁴⁸ P. Bortignon,¹⁴⁸
 D. Bourilkov,¹⁴⁸ A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁸ L. Cadamuro,¹⁴⁸ A. Carnes,¹⁴⁸ M. Carver,¹⁴⁸ D. Curry,¹⁴⁸ R. D. Field,¹⁴⁸ S. V. Gleyzer,¹⁴⁸
 B. M. Joshi,¹⁴⁸ J. Konigsberg,¹⁴⁸ A. Korytov,¹⁴⁸ P. Ma,¹⁴⁸ K. Matchev,¹⁴⁸ H. Mei,¹⁴⁸ G. Mitselmakher,¹⁴⁸ K. Shi,¹⁴⁸
 D. Sperka,¹⁴⁸ J. Wang,¹⁴⁸ S. Wang,¹⁴⁸ Y. R. Joshi,¹⁴⁹ S. Linn,¹⁴⁹ A. Ackert,¹⁵⁰ T. Adams,¹⁵⁰ A. Askew,¹⁵⁰ S. Hagopian,¹⁵⁰
 V. Hagopian,¹⁵⁰ K. F. Johnson,¹⁵⁰ T. Kolberg,¹⁵⁰ G. Martinez,¹⁵⁰ T. Perry,¹⁵⁰ H. Prosper,¹⁵⁰ A. Saha,¹⁵⁰ V. Sharma,¹⁵⁰
 R. Yohay,¹⁵⁰ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁵¹ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁵¹ S. Colafranceschi,¹⁵¹ M. Hohlmann,¹⁵¹ D. Noonan,¹⁵¹ M. Rahmani,¹⁵¹
 T. Roy,¹⁵¹ F. Yumiceva,¹⁵¹ M. R. Adams,¹⁵² L. Apanasevich,¹⁵² D. Berry,¹⁵² R. R. Betts,¹⁵² R. Cavanaugh,¹⁵² X. Chen,¹⁵²
 S. Dittmer,¹⁵² O. Evdokimov,¹⁵² C. E. Gerber,¹⁵² D. A. Hangal,¹⁵² D. J. Hofman,¹⁵² K. Jung,¹⁵² J. Kamin,¹⁵² C. Mills,¹⁵²
 I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez,¹⁵² M. B. Tonjes,¹⁵² N. Varelas,¹⁵² H. Wang,¹⁵² X. Wang,¹⁵² Z. Wu,¹⁵² J. Zhang,¹⁵²
 M. Alhusseini,¹⁵³ B. Bilki,^{153,qqq} W. Clarida,¹⁵³ K. Dilsiz,^{153,rrr} S. Durgut,¹⁵³ R. P. Gandrajula,¹⁵³ M. Haytmyradov,¹⁵³
 V. Khristenko,¹⁵³ J.-P. Merlo,¹⁵³ A. Mestvirishvili,¹⁵³ A. Moeller,¹⁵³ J. Nachtman,¹⁵³ H. Ogul,^{153,sss} Y. Onel,¹⁵³ F. Ozok,^{153,ttt}
 A. Penzo,¹⁵³ C. Snyder,¹⁵³ E. Tiras,¹⁵³ J. Wetzel,¹⁵³ B. Blumenfeld,¹⁵⁴ A. Cocoros,¹⁵⁴ N. Eminizer,¹⁵⁴ D. Fehling,¹⁵⁴
 L. Feng,¹⁵⁴ A. V. Gritsan,¹⁵⁴ W. T. Hung,¹⁵⁴ P. Maksimovic,¹⁵⁴ J. Roskes,¹⁵⁴ U. Sarica,¹⁵⁴ M. Swartz,¹⁵⁴ M. Xiao,¹⁵⁴
 C. You,¹⁵⁴ A. Al-bataineh,¹⁵⁵ P. Baringer,¹⁵⁵ A. Bean,¹⁵⁵ S. Boren,¹⁵⁵ J. Bowen,¹⁵⁵ A. Bylinkin,¹⁵⁵ J. Castle,¹⁵⁵ S. Khalil,¹⁵⁵
 A. Kropivnitskaya,¹⁵⁵ D. Majumder,¹⁵⁵ W. Mcbrayer,¹⁵⁵ M. Murray,¹⁵⁵ C. Rogan,¹⁵⁵ S. Sanders,¹⁵⁵ E. Schmitz,¹⁵⁵
 J. D. Tapia Takaki,¹⁵⁵ Q. Wang,¹⁵⁵ S. Duric,¹⁵⁶ A. Ivanov,¹⁵⁶ K. Kaadze,¹⁵⁶ D. Kim,¹⁵⁶ Y. Maravin,¹⁵⁶ D. R. Mendis,¹⁵⁶
 T. Mitchell,¹⁵⁶ A. Modak,¹⁵⁶ A. Mohammadi,¹⁵⁶ L. K. Saini,¹⁵⁶ N. Skhirtladze,¹⁵⁶ F. Rebassoo,¹⁵⁷ D. Wright,¹⁵⁷ A. Baden,¹⁵⁸
 O. Baron,¹⁵⁸ A. Belloni,¹⁵⁸ S. C. Eno,¹⁵⁸ Y. Feng,¹⁵⁸ C. Ferraioli,¹⁵⁸ N. J. Hadley,¹⁵⁸ S. Jabeen,¹⁵⁸ G. Y. Jeng,¹⁵⁸
 R. G. Kellogg,¹⁵⁸ J. Kunkle,¹⁵⁸ A. C. Mignerey,¹⁵⁸ F. Ricci-Tam,¹⁵⁸ Y. H. Shin,¹⁵⁸ A. Skuja,¹⁵⁸ S. C. Tonwar,¹⁵⁸ K. Wong,¹⁵⁸
 D. Abercrombie,¹⁵⁹ B. Allen,¹⁵⁹ V. Azzolini,¹⁵⁹ A. Baty,¹⁵⁹ G. Bauer,¹⁵⁹ R. Bi,¹⁵⁹ S. Brandt,¹⁵⁹ W. Busza,¹⁵⁹ I. A. Cali,¹⁵⁹

M. D'Alfonso,¹⁵⁹ Z. Demiragli,¹⁵⁹ G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁵⁹ M. Goncharov,¹⁵⁹ P. Harris,¹⁵⁹ D. Hsu,¹⁵⁹ M. Hu,¹⁵⁹ Y. Iiyama,¹⁵⁹ G. M. Innocenti,¹⁵⁹ M. Klute,¹⁵⁹ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁵⁹ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁵⁹ P. D. Luckey,¹⁵⁹ B. Maier,¹⁵⁹ A. C. Marini,¹⁵⁹ C. McGinn,¹⁵⁹ C. Mironov,¹⁵⁹ S. Narayanan,¹⁵⁹ X. Niu,¹⁵⁹ C. Paus,¹⁵⁹ C. Roland,¹⁵⁹ G. Roland,¹⁵⁹ G. S. F. Stephens,¹⁵⁹ K. Sumorok,¹⁵⁹ K. Tatar,¹⁵⁹ D. Velicanu,¹⁵⁹ J. Wang,¹⁵⁹ T. W. Wang,¹⁵⁹ B. Wyslouch,¹⁵⁹ S. Zhaozhong,¹⁵⁹ A. C. Benvenuti,¹⁶⁰ R. M. Chatterjee,¹⁶⁰ A. Evans,¹⁶⁰ P. Hansen,¹⁶⁰ S. Kalafut,¹⁶⁰ Y. Kubota,¹⁶⁰ Z. Lesko,¹⁶⁰ J. Mans,¹⁶⁰ S. Nourbakhsh,¹⁶⁰ N. Ruckstuhl,¹⁶⁰ R. Rusack,¹⁶⁰ J. Turkewitz,¹⁶⁰ M. A. Wadud,¹⁶⁰ J. G. Acosta,¹⁶¹ S. Oliveros,¹⁶¹ E. Avdeeva,¹⁶² K. Bloom,¹⁶² D. R. Claes,¹⁶² C. Fangmeier,¹⁶² F. Golf,¹⁶² R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁶² R. Kamalieddin,¹⁶² I. Kravchenko,¹⁶² J. Monroy,¹⁶² J. E. Siado,¹⁶² G. R. Snow,¹⁶² B. Stieger,¹⁶² A. Godshalk,¹⁶³ C. Harrington,¹⁶³ I. Iashvili,¹⁶³ A. Kharchilava,¹⁶³ D. Nguyen,¹⁶³ A. Parker,¹⁶³ S. Rappoccio,¹⁶³ B. Roozbahani,¹⁶³ G. Alverson,¹⁶⁴ E. Barberis,¹⁶⁴ C. Freer,¹⁶⁴ A. Hortiangtham,¹⁶⁴ D. M. Morse,¹⁶⁴ T. Orimoto,¹⁶⁴ R. Teixeira De Lima,¹⁶⁴ T. Wamorkar,¹⁶⁴ B. Wang,¹⁶⁴ A. Wisecarver,¹⁶⁴ D. Wood,¹⁶⁴ S. Bhattacharya,¹⁶⁵ O. Charaf,¹⁶⁵ K. A. Hahn,¹⁶⁵ N. Mucia,¹⁶⁵ N. Odell,¹⁶⁵ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁶⁵ K. Sung,¹⁶⁵ M. Trovato,¹⁶⁵ M. Velasco,¹⁶⁵ R. Bucci,¹⁶⁶ N. Dev,¹⁶⁶ M. Hildreth,¹⁶⁶ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁶⁶ C. Jessop,¹⁶⁶ D. J. Karmgard,¹⁶⁶ N. Kellams,¹⁶⁶ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁶ W. Li,¹⁶⁶ N. Loukas,¹⁶⁶ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁶ F. Meng,¹⁶⁶ C. Mueller,¹⁶⁶ Y. Musienko,^{166,jj} M. Planer,¹⁶⁶ A. Reinsvold,¹⁶⁶ R. Ruchti,¹⁶⁶ P. Siddireddy,¹⁶⁶ G. Smith,¹⁶⁶ S. Taroni,¹⁶⁶ M. Wayne,¹⁶⁶ A. Wightman,¹⁶⁶ M. Wolf,¹⁶⁶ A. Woodard,¹⁶⁶ J. Alimena,¹⁶⁷ L. Antonelli,¹⁶⁷ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁷ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁷ S. Flowers,¹⁶⁷ B. Francis,¹⁶⁷ A. Hart,¹⁶⁷ C. Hill,¹⁶⁷ W. Ji,¹⁶⁷ T. Y. Ling,¹⁶⁷ W. Luo,¹⁶⁷ B. L. Winer,¹⁶⁷ H. W. Wulsin,¹⁶⁷ S. Cooperstein,¹⁶⁸ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁸ J. Hardenbrook,¹⁶⁸ P. Hebda,¹⁶⁸ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁸ A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁶⁸ D. Lange,¹⁶⁸ M. T. Lucchini,¹⁶⁸ J. Luo,¹⁶⁸ D. Marlow,¹⁶⁸ K. Mei,¹⁶⁸ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁸ J. Olsen,¹⁶⁸ C. Palmer,¹⁶⁸ P. Piroué,¹⁶⁸ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,¹⁶⁸ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁸ C. Tully,¹⁶⁸ S. Malik,¹⁶⁹ S. Norberg,¹⁶⁹ A. Barker,¹⁷⁰ V. E. Barnes,¹⁷⁰ S. Das,¹⁷⁰ L. Gutay,¹⁷⁰ M. Jones,¹⁷⁰ A. W. Jung,¹⁷⁰ A. Khatiwada,¹⁷⁰ B. Mahakud,¹⁷⁰ D. H. Miller,¹⁷⁰ N. Neumeister,¹⁷⁰ C. C. Peng,¹⁷⁰ H. Qiu,¹⁷⁰ J. F. Schulte,¹⁷⁰ J. Sun,¹⁷⁰ F. Wang,¹⁷⁰ R. Xiao,¹⁷⁰ W. Xie,¹⁷⁰ T. Cheng,¹⁷¹ J. Dolen,¹⁷¹ N. Parashar,¹⁷¹ Z. Chen,¹⁷² K. M. Ecklund,¹⁷² S. Freed,¹⁷² F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁷² M. Kilpatrick,¹⁷² W. Li,¹⁷² B. Michlin,¹⁷² B. P. Padley,¹⁷² J. Roberts,¹⁷² J. Rorie,¹⁷² W. Shi,¹⁷² Z. Tu,¹⁷² J. Zabel,¹⁷² A. Zhang,¹⁷² A. Bodek,¹⁷³ P. de Barbaro,¹⁷³ R. Demina,¹⁷³ Y. t. Duh,¹⁷³ J. L. Dulemba,¹⁷³ C. Fallon,¹⁷³ T. Ferbel,¹⁷³ M. Galanti,¹⁷³ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷³ J. Han,¹⁷³ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷³ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷³ K. H. Lo,¹⁷³ P. Tan,¹⁷³ R. Taus,¹⁷³ M. Verzetti,¹⁷³ A. Agapitos,¹⁷⁴ J. P. Chou,¹⁷⁴ Y. Gershtein,¹⁷⁴ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹⁷⁴ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷⁴ M. Heindl,¹⁷⁴ E. Hughes,¹⁷⁴ S. Kaplan,¹⁷⁴ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,¹⁷⁴ S. Kyriacou,¹⁷⁴ A. Lath,¹⁷⁴ R. Montalvo,¹⁷⁴ K. Nash,¹⁷⁴ M. Osherson,¹⁷⁴ H. Saka,¹⁷⁴ S. Salur,¹⁷⁴ S. Schnetzer,¹⁷⁴ D. Sheffield,¹⁷⁴ S. Somalwar,¹⁷⁴ R. Stone,¹⁷⁴ S. Thomas,¹⁷⁴ P. Thomassen,¹⁷⁴ M. Walker,¹⁷⁴ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷⁵ J. Heideman,¹⁷⁵ G. Riley,¹⁷⁵ S. Spanier,¹⁷⁵ K. Thapa,¹⁷⁵ O. Bouhali,^{176,uuu} A. Celik,¹⁷⁶ M. Dalchenko,¹⁷⁶ M. De Mattia,¹⁷⁶ A. Delgado,¹⁷⁶ S. Dildick,¹⁷⁶ R. Eusebi,¹⁷⁶ J. Gilmore,¹⁷⁶ T. Huang,¹⁷⁶ T. Kamon,^{176,vvv} S. Luo,¹⁷⁶ R. Mueller,¹⁷⁶ R. Patel,¹⁷⁶ A. Perloff,¹⁷⁶ L. Perniè,¹⁷⁶ D. Rathjens,¹⁷⁶ A. Safonov,¹⁷⁶ N. Akchurin,¹⁷⁷ J. Damgov,¹⁷⁷ F. De Guio,¹⁷⁷ P. R. Duerdo,¹⁷⁷ S. Kunori,¹⁷⁷ K. Lamichhane,¹⁷⁷ S. W. Lee,¹⁷⁷ T. Mengke,¹⁷⁷ S. Muthumuni,¹⁷⁷ T. Peltola,¹⁷⁷ S. Undleeb,¹⁷⁷ I. Volobouev,¹⁷⁷ Z. Wang,¹⁷⁷ S. Greene,¹⁷⁸ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁸ R. Janjam,¹⁷⁸ W. Johns,¹⁷⁸ C. Maguire,¹⁷⁸ A. Melo,¹⁷⁸ H. Ni,¹⁷⁸ K. Padeken,¹⁷⁸ J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,¹⁷⁸ P. Sheldon,¹⁷⁸ S. Tuo,¹⁷⁸ J. Velkovska,¹⁷⁸ M. Verweij,¹⁷⁸ Q. Xu,¹⁷⁸ M. W. Arenton,¹⁷⁹ P. Barria,¹⁷⁹ B. Cox,¹⁷⁹ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁹ M. Joyce,¹⁷⁹ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁹ H. Li,¹⁷⁹ C. Neu,¹⁷⁹ T. Sinthuprasith,¹⁷⁹ Y. Wang,¹⁷⁹ E. Wolfe,¹⁷⁹ F. Xia,¹⁷⁹ R. Harr,¹⁸⁰ P. E. Karchin,¹⁸⁰ N. Poudyal,¹⁸⁰ J. Sturdy,¹⁸⁰ P. Thapa,¹⁸⁰ S. Zaleski,¹⁸⁰ M. Brodski,¹⁸¹ J. Buchanan,¹⁸¹ C. Caillol,¹⁸¹ D. Carlsmith,¹⁸¹ S. Dasu,¹⁸¹ L. Dodd,¹⁸¹ B. Gomber,¹⁸¹ M. Grothe,¹⁸¹ M. Herndon,¹⁸¹ A. Hervé,¹⁸¹ U. Hussain,¹⁸¹ P. Klabbers,¹⁸¹ A. Lanaro,¹⁸¹ A. Levine,¹⁸¹ K. Long,¹⁸¹ R. Loveless,¹⁸¹ T. Ruggles,¹⁸¹ A. Savin,¹⁸¹ N. Smith,¹⁸¹ W. H. Smith,¹⁸¹ and N. Woods¹⁸¹

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

⁷Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

- ¹⁰*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
^{11a}*Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*
^{11b}*Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*
¹²*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*
¹³*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*
¹⁴*Beihang University, Beijing, China*
¹⁵*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*
¹⁶*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*
¹⁷*Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
¹⁸*Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia*
¹⁹*University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia*
²⁰*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*
²¹*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
²²*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
²³*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
²⁴*Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador*
²⁵*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
²⁶*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
²⁷*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
²⁸*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
²⁹*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
³⁰*Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
³¹*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
³²*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France*
³³*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
³⁴*Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁵*Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France*
³⁶*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁷*Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
³⁸*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
³⁹*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
⁴⁰*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
⁴¹*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴²*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
⁴³*Karlsruher Institut fuer Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany*
⁴⁴*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
⁴⁵*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
⁴⁶*National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
⁴⁷*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
⁴⁸*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*
⁴⁹*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
⁵⁰*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁵¹*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
⁵²*Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India*
⁵³*National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India*
⁵⁴*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
⁵⁵*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
⁵⁶*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*
⁵⁷*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*
⁵⁸*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
⁵⁹*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*
⁶⁰*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
⁶¹*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
⁶²*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*

- ⁶³*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
^{64a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{64b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{64c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
^{65a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{65b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
^{66a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{66b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
^{67a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
^{67b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
⁶⁸*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
^{69a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
^{69b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
^{70a}*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
^{70b}*Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
^{71a}*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
^{71b}*Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
^{71c}*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
^{71d}*Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy*
^{72a}*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{72b}*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{72c}*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy*
^{73a}*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{73b}*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{74a}*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{74b}*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{75a}*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{75b}*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{75c}*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{76a}*INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy*
^{76b}*Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy*
^{77a}*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{77b}*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{77c}*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
^{78a}*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
^{78b}*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
⁷⁹*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
⁸⁰*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
⁸¹*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸²*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸³*Sejong University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁴*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁵*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁶*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*
⁸⁷*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*
⁸⁸*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
⁸⁹*Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico*
⁹⁰*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*
⁹¹*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*
⁹²*Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
⁹³*Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico*
⁹⁴*University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand*
⁹⁵*University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*
⁹⁶*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
⁹⁷*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*
⁹⁸*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*
⁹⁹*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*
¹⁰⁰*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*
¹⁰¹*Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia*
¹⁰²*Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia*

- ¹⁰³*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁴*Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁵*National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁶*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁷*Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁸*Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ¹⁰⁹*State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia*
- ¹¹⁰*National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia*
- ¹¹¹*University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia*
- ¹¹²*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
- ¹¹³*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
- ¹¹⁴*Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain*
- ¹¹⁵*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
- ¹¹⁶*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁷*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁸*ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹¹⁹*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹²⁰*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
- ¹²¹*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
- ¹²²*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*
- ¹²³*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
- ¹²⁴*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
- ¹²⁵*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁶*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁷*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹²⁸*National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine*
- ¹²⁹*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ¹³⁰*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ¹³¹*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
- ¹³²*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
- ¹³³*Baylor University, Waco, USA*
- ¹³⁴*Catholic University of America, Washington DC, USA*
- ¹³⁵*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA*
- ¹³⁶*Boston University, Boston, USA*
- ¹³⁷*Brown University, Providence, USA*
- ¹³⁸*University of California, Davis, Davis, USA*
- ¹³⁹*University of California, Los Angeles, USA*
- ¹⁴⁰*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA*
- ¹⁴¹*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA*
- ¹⁴²*University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA*
- ¹⁴³*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA*
- ¹⁴⁴*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA*
- ¹⁴⁵*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA*
- ¹⁴⁶*Cornell University, Ithaca, USA*
- ¹⁴⁷*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA*
- ¹⁴⁸*University of Florida, Gainesville, USA*
- ¹⁴⁹*Florida International University, Miami, USA*
- ¹⁵⁰*Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA*
- ¹⁵¹*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA*
- ¹⁵²*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA*
- ¹⁵³*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA*
- ¹⁵⁴*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA*
- ¹⁵⁵*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA*
- ¹⁵⁶*Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA*
- ¹⁵⁷*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA*
- ¹⁵⁸*University of Maryland, College Park, USA*
- ¹⁵⁹*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA*
- ¹⁶⁰*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA*

- ¹⁶¹*University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA*
¹⁶²*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA*
¹⁶³*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA*
¹⁶⁴*Northeastern University, Boston, USA*
¹⁶⁵*Northwestern University, Evanston, USA*
¹⁶⁶*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA*
¹⁶⁷*The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA*
¹⁶⁸*Princeton University, Princeton, USA*
¹⁶⁹*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA*
¹⁷⁰*Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA*
¹⁷¹*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA*
¹⁷²*Rice University, Houston, USA*
¹⁷³*University of Rochester, Rochester, USA*
¹⁷⁴*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA*
¹⁷⁵*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA*
¹⁷⁶*Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*
¹⁷⁷*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA*
¹⁷⁸*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA*
¹⁷⁹*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA*
¹⁸⁰*Wayne State University, Detroit, USA*
¹⁸¹*University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*

^aDeceased.

^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

^cAlso at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

^dAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^eAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

^fAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

^gAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

^hAlso at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.

ⁱAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

^jAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

^kAlso at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

^lAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.

^mAlso at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

ⁿAlso at Department of Physics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

^oAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

^pAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

^qAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

^rAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

^sAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

^tAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

^uAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

^vAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

^wAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

^xAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

^yAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

^zAlso at Shoolini University, Solan, India.

^{aa}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

^{bb}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

^{cc}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

^{dd}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

^{ee}Also at Kyunghee University, Seoul, Korea.

^{ff}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

^{gg}Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.

^{hh}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.

ⁱⁱAlso at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.

^{jj}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

^{kk}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.

^{ll}Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

- ^{mm} Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ⁿⁿ Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{oo} Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ^{pp} Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{qq} Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{rr} Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ^{ss} Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{tt} Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{uu} Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{vv} Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ^{ww} Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ^{xx} Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ^{yy} Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{zz} Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{aaa} Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{bbb} Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ccc} Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{ddd} Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{eee} Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{fff} Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ggg} Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{hhh} Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ^{jjj} Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ^{kkk} Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ^{lll} Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{mmm} Also at Bethel University, Arden Hills, Minnesota, USA.
- ⁿⁿⁿ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ^{ooo} Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ^{ppp} Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- ^{qqq} Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{rrr} Also at Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey.
- ^{sss} Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ^{ttt} Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{uuu} Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{vvv} Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.